

RACING
HAYDOCK PARK
MEETING HIT &
DAMAGED TRAO

Stadium
named
after
champion
Christie



WILLIAM REES-MOGG

A message of hope
for the Chancellor

Compete and conquer, page 16



PAINTED LADIES

Tattoo: a mark
of high fashion

Julia Jewell Smith, page 13



MICHAEL JORDAN

End of the game
for a superstar

World's best-paid sportsman, page 40

30p
SAVE 15p

THE TIMES



No. 64,768

THURSDAY OCTOBER 7 1993

Crime and benefit fraud targeted

Howard leads right wing policy charge

By PHILIP WEBSTER
POLITICAL EDITOR

THE Conservative right asserted its strength yesterday by unveiling the toughest crackdown on crime for a decade and a redoubled assault on benefit fraud.

Right-wing ministers took centre stage at the party conference as their champion Baroness Thatcher arrived in Blackpool to a blaze of media publicity, a fresh wave of recriminations over her criticisms of John Major and distinct nervousness in the Tory high command over her appearance with the prime minister on the conference platform today.

They exploited the turbulence surrounding Mr Major's leadership to hijack the conference with a battery of populist announcements on law and order and welfare to follow up

Wide-ranging reforms of the criminal and social security systems set a ground-breaking right-wing agenda at the Conservative conference

the gains they believe they have already made on Europe and which are already provoking a backlash from the Tory pro-Europeans.

Michael Howard, the home secretary, and Peter Lilley, the social security secretary, won the biggest ovations of the week. One leading right-winger declared that the right was flexing its muscles because of a "collapse of authority" in the government.

An influential left-wing Tory said: "They are on the rampage. We can only take so much."

In what he called the most comprehensive programme of action against crime ever, Mr Howard announced an end to a suspect's historic right of silence; harsher penalties for young offenders; the building of six new prisons; a tightening of the bail laws; stronger "stop and search" powers against terrorists and the scrapping of restrictions on genetic fingerprinting.

He declared it was "action to prevent crime, action to help the police chase criminals, action to make it easier to convict the guilty, action to punish them when they are found guilty."

He said: "There is a tidal wave of concern about crime in this country. I am not going to ignore it... I am going to take action. Tough action."

Mr Howard's measures pleased the Tory faithful; but an even warmer reception was given to Mr Lilley later when he announced a package to tackle "benefit cheats" and foreigners who "scrounge" housing and council tax benefits.

Around 17,000 "benefit tourists" are likely to be affected by the crackdown that is expected to save the government £50 million a year.

It was the sort of fare that Tory conferences love and, ironically, took the attention for much of the day from the controversy over Lady Thatcher's memoirs, which had been refuelled by further alleged disclosures in the Daily Mirror yesterday.

It quotes her as saying of Mr Major that "intellectually he drifted with the tide" when he was Chancellor of the Exchequer. Elsewhere she says: "We had to bring in others who were more at ease with large ideas and strategies into the discussion."

Lady Thatcher's attacks

were rebutted by past and present ministers yesterday. Lord Lawson, the former Chancellor, said that her remarks could only diminish her standing. He suggested that she had still failed to come to terms with the way she was ousted from office in 1990.

Most ministers speaking in the conference debates pledged their support for Mr Major, reinforcing the impression of a beleaguered leader. In a surprise move James Moynihan, leader of the nine Ulster Unionists, voiced strong support for Mr Major. He told a fringe meeting in Blackpool that Mr Major should not be evicted from Downing Street by "ambitious or nervous colleagues".

John Gummer, the environment secretary, told the conference: "No more carping or sourness; we follow the leader



we chose." Lady Thatcher, cheered by her supporters as she entered the seaford Imperial hotel last night, will attend the conference this morning for Kenneth Clarke's speech in an economic debate that could be marred by grassroots protests over the imposition of VAT on fuel. She attacked the plan at a private meeting last week.

Sir Edward Heath launched a bitter attack on the right last night. Referring to Mr Lilley's speech he said that "this scoffing at foreigners was the most horrible form of nationalism". He added that the right of silence should be kept.

Conference sketch, page 2
Conference reports, page 10
William Rees-Mogg, page 16
Leading article, page 17



Michael Howard promises to respond to the "tidal wave of concern" about crime

A star lights up Blackpool

By ALICE THOMSON AT THE CONFERENCE

THE famous hair-do appeared first, silver-grey in the are lights, followed by the handbag, then patent shoes and finally a royal blue tunic.

Smiling beatifically, Baroness Thatcher glided from the door to the lift, nodding from side to side as she fiddled with her pearls. The fans surged forward across the hall of the Imperial Hotel and even Wade Dooley, the 6ft 4in rugby player and policeman, was smothered in the crush.

But, after 800 pages of The Downing Street Years, Lady Thatcher was saying nothing. Her arrival came too late to be filmed for the 5.40pm news, and she also missed the 6 o'clock headlines, but at least she had given Blackpool ample time to prepare by being three hours late. The great

wait had started at 3pm as representatives at the conference were ordering cream teas. Cameramen traipsed in, laddering lady activists' fights in their attempt to find the best place.

Elizabeth Buchanan, Lady Thatcher's loyal co-ordinator, was looking desperately at her Gucci watch. At this rate, Michael Heseltine would arrive before her, unless he stopped in a lay-by. Conservative Central Office was even more jittery. "It's a big gamble for Sir Norman Fowler bringing her here," one official. "If it doesn't work, he will get the blame."

The managersess was frantically off questions about what Lady Thatcher was to eat that night. She was having a private dinner arranged by

Sir Norman with good acquaintances such as Maurice Saatchi. Yes, the managersess knew that Lady Thatcher was partial to curry, but it was likely they would keep to more traditional English fare.

Lord Archer was hoping that she would be coming to his shepherd's pie and champagne party later in the evening, but John Major was also expected to attend after the Young Conservatives Ball and it was unlikely that they would meet until today on the platform for the economic debate.

By 5.30pm the remains of tea had been crushed underfoot. Someone was coming, the crowd surged forward, but it was only Norma Major. At last, the star performer Continued on page 2, col 5

Yeltsin 'was in a panic' as rebels stormed parliament

FROM ANNE McELVOY IN MOSCOW

PRESIDENT Yeltsin was panic-stricken, disorganised and stormed around his offices calling helplessly for absent advisers when the uprising began on Sunday, according to a Russian journalist who was inside the Kremlin at the time.

Sergei Parkhomenko, a political correspondent for the liberal daily *Sevodaya*, said that the seat of power in Russia resembled an asylum, with Mr Yeltsin incapable of setting contingency plans for dealing with the violent struggle in the streets. "He appeared to me to be not very lucid," he told Italian Radio after failing to secure permission to publish his account in his paper on Tuesday because of the censorship imposed by the authorities. "He did not seem capable of pressing the right control buttons," added Mr Parkhomenko.

The account of disorganisation in the vital hours of Sunday afternoon comes as an embarrassment to Mr Yeltsin as he seeks to establish control in the aftermath of the bloody insurrection. Yesterday he announced that he would press ahead with elections to a new parliament in December.

In his first television address since he deployed the army to force parliamentary opponents in the White House to surrender, he said the flame of civil war in Russia had been extinguished. But "the heart is heavy because an enormous price had to be paid".

Mr Parkhomenko, a respected journalist generally supportive of the Russian leader, went to the Kremlin for a briefing with presidential aides after violence flared in the afternoon with

demonstrators battling against police and interior ministry troops. He was trapped inside the Kremlin when guards shut the gates.

The president, who was at his dacha when the demonstrators went on the rampage, was flown back to Moscow by helicopter and Russian television showed pictures of him arriving calmly. But news that crowds were advancing on the television station caused a near "meltdown" in Mr Yeltsin's office, according to Mr Parkhomenko. He claims that the president

Lenin loses guard

THE guard of honour in front of Lenin's mausoleum in Moscow's Red Square was removed yesterday in what was described as a "change of ritual". The guard has been in place since 1924. Goose-stepping guards changing duties there has been a favourite attraction for Russian and foreign tourists.

was "paralysed" and gave no orders. "Yeltsin was yelling and everyone was looking for (Sergei) Filatov, the chief of the president's office," he added. But Mr Filatov was away negotiating with members of parliament.

Mr Parkhomenko said that the arrival of Gennadi Burbulis, the president's friend and adviser, and Mikhail Poteranin, his media chief, proved to be the turning point. "They saved the situation."

Elated Yeltsin, page 12
Dilemma for West, page 16

Patten's 'madman' praised by Hurd

By BEN PRESTON

A SENIOR education authority official who was labelled a "madman" by John Patten has previously been lavished with praise by senior Conservatives, including Mr Patten, it emerged last night.

Professor Tim Brighouse, new chief education officer for Birmingham, was described by Mr Patten on Tuesday as a "nutter" who made a "frightful muddle" of education in Oxfordshire while responsible for its schools in the late 1980s.

But it was disclosed yesterday that Professor Brighouse was lauded by Douglas Hurd, the foreign secretary, for his "skill and dedication" when he left the post in 1989. Tony Baldry, the housing minister, said that he was a "fearless champion of excellence in education".

Professor Brighouse said that Mr Patten had offered "kind words" on his performance.

Mr Patten said last night that his comments were clearly satirical and apologised if they had caused offence.

Patten condemned, page 2

Cut out the meat and eat more vegetables for a longer life

By NIGEL HAWKES, SCIENCE EDITOR

A DIET of nut outlets and broccoli is more likely to promote long life and good health than roast beef and Yorkshire pudding, a survey published yesterday has revealed.

The first mortality figures from the Oxford Vegetarian Study, a 13-year investigation, show that meat eaters are almost twice as likely to die from heart disease as are vegetarians. They also have a 60 per cent greater risk of dying from cancer and a 30 per cent higher risk of death from other causes.

The study, supported by the Cancer Research Campaign, has been collecting statistics on 11,000 volunteers since 1980. Of these, just over 5,000 eat meat at least once a week,

while 4,400 are vegetarians. A smaller group of 352 are vegans, and a fourth group of 1,358 are fish-eaters who eat meat not at all or less than once a week.

So far, the statistics are insufficient to make precise distinctions between the groups, but it is possible to compare those who eat meat regularly with those who seldom or never do.

Dr Margaret Thorogood, now at the London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, recently reported the results up to March this year at a conference in Australia. The volunteers, regardless of diet, appear to be much healthier than the British population as a whole, probably because they are drawn mainly

from the wealthier social classes; furthermore, half of them claim never to have smoked.

Death rates from heart disease, for example, are half the national average even for the meat eaters. For vegetarians they are, however, only a quarter of the national average. In cancer, the meat eaters show death rates 80 per cent of those of the national average, while the non-meat eaters are dying at only 49 per cent of the national rate. Death rates from all causes are 54 per cent of the average for meat eaters, and 41 per cent for non-meat eaters.

Dr Thorogood emphasises that it is impossible to conclude that meat is really what distinguishes the groups.

4,000 jobs put at risk by threat to BAe deal

By ROSS TIEMAN, INDUSTRIAL CORRESPONDENT

UNITED Kingdom ambitions to remain a world leader in civil aerospace are at risk after officials in Taiwan signalled growing unwillingness to proceed with a joint venture with British Aerospace to build regional jets.

If the deal collapses, BAe plans to close its regional jet business, Avro International, with the loss of 4,000 jobs in Manchester, Bristol and Prestwick.

The closure, for which BAe has already set aside funds, would cast doubts over Britain's ability to remain in the forefront of the world aerospace industry.

BAe has been negotiating for more than a year to cut the cost of its RJ family of aircraft, based on the BAe 146 whizzer jet, by assembling them in

Taiwan as well as Britain. The planes would be built in partnership with Taiwan Aerospace Corporation, a state-sponsored company set up to enable the Taiwan government to trigger the development of a national aerospace industry.

Yesterday concern that Taiwan might walk away from the joint venture were heightened after vice-economics minister Yang Shih-chien told Taiwan television his government remained behind the deal, but could not guarantee that TAC would proceed with the deal.

A BAe spokesman said his company was concerned, but believed a deal could be achieved.

Taiwan delay, page 23

UP TO
8.5%
GROSS p.a.
A LARGE INVESTMENT.
A LARGE RETURN.

INVESTMENT AMOUNT	GROSS RATES p.a.	NET RATES p.a.
£200,000 plus	8.50%	6.38%
£100,000 up to £199,999	8.10%	6.08%
£50,000 up to £99,999	7.90%	5.93%
£25,000 up to £49,999	7.55%	5.66%
£10,000 up to £24,999	6.10%	4.58%

To find out more just call into your local branch.




The habit of a lifetime

Rates may vary. The term of the Bond runs from the date of opening until the first day of the following month a year later. Interest will be paid gross if you register with us as required by the Inland Revenue or net of basic rate income tax (currently 25%). You may be able to reclaim this tax from the Inland Revenue. Abbey National plc, Abbey House, Baker Street, London NW1 6XL.

Arts	33-35
Births, marriages, deaths	18
Body and Mind	14
Books	36-37
Chess	8-14
Court and Social	18
Crossword	22
Law Report	38
Leading articles	17
Letters	17
Obituaries	19
Weather	22
TV & Radio	43

Buying The Times overseas
Australia \$22.00; Belgium 250; Canada \$22.00; Denmark 250; Cyprus £11.00; Germany 15.00; Greece 12.00; Hong Kong 12.00; India 12.00; Ireland 12.00; Italy 12.00; Japan 12.00; Korea 12.00; Luxembourg 12.00; Malaysia 12.00; Mexico 12.00; Middle East 12.00; New Zealand 12.00; Norway 12.00; Portugal 12.00; Singapore 12.00; South Africa 12.00; Spain 12.00; Sweden 12.00; Switzerland 12.00; Taiwan 12.00; Thailand 12.00; USA 12.00.



9 770140 046442

mencap

The Tories' all-out effort against crime includes more prisons and new laws

Legal reforms endanger justice, says Runciman

By FRANCES GIBB
LEGAL CORRESPONDENT

THE RIGHT TO SILENCE

THE home secretary's decision to end the right to silence, announced at the Tory conference, prompted an immediate outcry yesterday. The chairman of the Royal Commission on Criminal Justice said it could put at risk "confused and vulnerable" suspects.

Lord Runciman of Doxford welcomed the home secretary's commitment to the royal commission's proposal for an independent review body to consider miscarriages of justice. But he was "sorry that the government has seen fit to take up the minority view [of the royal commission] on the right to silence."

A majority of the commission members recommended in their report in July that the defence be required to disclose its case before trial, but a minority went further, wanting adverse comment to be allowed on a defendant's refusal to answer questions.

Yesterday Lord Runciman said this created "a risk that confused and vulnerable suspects may incriminate themselves when they shouldn't. The commission's view was that when, but only when, a suspect knows exactly what he is charged with, and has had an opportunity to have considered legal advice, should there be any requirement to disclose the nature of the defence."

Lord Runciman urged the government, if it did choose to stop the right to silence, to implement a set of recommendations by the commission to protect the rights of suspects. Such safeguards, including ensuring access to legal advice, "become enormously important in the light of the home secretary's proposals."

If Michael Howard were to give police increased powers, "including power to put pressure on suspects", then the

government must introduce legislation to enable action to be taken against officers "discovered to have abused that power".

However, the attorney-general, Sir Nicholas Lyell QC, indicated that the right to silence proposals, expected to be included as a "matter of overriding importance" in the criminal justice bill, would not go as far as the royal commission's minority view. Adverse comment on refusal to answer questions would be allowed "where there is already sufficient other evidence before the court on which it would be open to the jury to find the case proved".

In a speech to the Society of Conservative Lawyers at Blackpool, Sir Nicholas said juries should be able to take silence into account "as added weight in the scales, if the judge rules that it is judicially proper to do so. I do not believe that this will render the vulnerable suspects unduly vulnerable in the police station. Rather, it will redress the balance against the experienced wrongdoer who currently can exploit the system unduly to his advantage."

The government is likely to have a tough battle with the legal profession on the right to silence, with the Bar, the Criminal Bar Association and the Law Society against the proposal. Robert Seabrook QC, vice-chairman of the Bar, said it was a mistake at a time when it was necessary to restore public confidence in the system. "The public might say, 'Surely if someone is innocent they would have said something', but I believe it is much better to have a universal rule which ensures that the vulnerable are protected and not the other way round."

Rodger Pannone, president of the Law Society, said: "The right to silence is not an old-fashioned and out-moded tradition. To remove this right would upset the balance of our system of justice."

Charter 88, the constitutional reform group, said it would be a move towards tyranny. Pam Giddy, of the group, said: "We now join Kuwait, Iran, Iraq and China in not having the right to silence." Liberty, the civil rights organisation, said it could be a breach of international law.

Howard's speech, page 1
Conference reports, page 10
Janet Daley, page 16
Leading article, page 17



Runciman: warned of risk to confused suspects

Police applaud Howard's drive against offenders

By STEWART TENDLER, CRIME CORRESPONDENT

THE home secretary's plans to combat crime, which include the wider use of DNA samples, a clampdown on "bail bandits", stronger anti-terrorism measures and an end to the right to silence, were widely welcomed by senior police leaders yesterday.

They were pleased at how far Michael Howard had gone in supporting reforms they have been advocating. After confrontations this summer over the Sheehy report and proposals for radical change to police disciplinary regulations, Mr Howard yesterday went some way to mending fences.

The decision to make changes on DNA sampling went further than the recommendations of the Royal Commission on Criminal Justice, which said the crimes for which samples could be taken should be widened to a new group of serious offences.

The home secretary now proposes samples be taken, along with fingerprints, for all recordable offences. He also supports the idea of a national DNA collection. And by implication he supports the royal commission's view that police should be able to take saliva samples forcibly.

John Hoddinott, chief constable of Hampshire and chairman of a chief constables' committee on crime, said the most significant decision was to change the idea that defendants were entitled to bail, even when they had committed similar serious offences or had committed offences while on bail for a new offence. Chief constables have been lobbying for several years for action to halt "bail bandits".

anti-terrorist legislation will be welcomed by many officers, although they will require more Ulster style legislation for English statute books. Scotland Yard officers feel the government may have been influenced by the force of IRA attacks in the past year and the fact that the City of London went ahead with such measures as closing roads without undue protest.

Two new offences - possessing material which could be linked to terrorism and gathering information for terrorism - will be created. They are designed for use against the people behind IRA active service units who pave the way for attacks by recruiting and identifying targets. Police will be empowered to stop and search, on the

DNA TESTING

ground of possible terrorism, thus ending the problems in mounting road blocks: at present they have to have reasonable, recordable suspicion to search a car; they cannot act randomly unless the motorist agrees.

Mr Hoddinott summed up the general view of senior officers: "I think police officers are going to be pleased on the main issues of bail, the right to silence and DNA. These are the main thrusts we have been arguing on for some time. I think they have got it about right."

He said the measures proposed yesterday would help to redress the balance of justice in favour of victims, witnesses and the mass of law-abiding citizens.



A representative known only as Judy telling the conference of her experience as the victim of a sexual assault. She later praised Mr Howard's proposals

MAIN POINTS

- Abolition of a defendant's right to silence.
- Four new prisons, as well as two already announced.
- A new offence of gathering information for terrorist purposes and of possessing anything that gives reasonable suspicion of being connected to terrorist activities.
- Twenty pilot schemes for voluntary "parish constables" to patrol villages.
- Doubling the maximum sentence allowed in young offender institutions to two years for 15 to 17-year-olds.
- Secure training centres to be set up for persistent offenders aged 12-14.
- Abolition of judges' mandatory warnings to juries in rape trials about the danger of convicting on the woman's evidence alone.
- New police powers to stop

- trespassers, such as bunt saboteurs.
- Tougher laws against squatters.
- Plans to introduce urine testing for drugs in prisons.
- Tougher work for those serving community sentences.
- A new offence of witness intimidation.
- Scrapping bail for those accused of serious violent offences who have previous convictions.
- New rules allowing police to take DNA samples in all recordable offences.
- Tackling "jury nobbling" by allowing retrials.
- Powers to refer lenient sentences to the Court of Appeal to be extended in all the most serious sexual and violent offences.
- A criminal cases review

- authority to look at miscarriages of justice.
- Accepting all 16 recommendations of the recent enquiry into cutting police paperwork, releasing more policemen for active duty.
- Accepting the 11 recommendations on victims of crime from the Royal Commission on Criminal Justice, including provision of better court procedures and facilities and consultation over bail decisions.
- Guidelines to end repeat cautioning and cautioning for indictable offences, except in exceptional circumstances.
- Automatic custody for anyone convicted of rape, manslaughter or murder, or attempting any of those offences, who is subsequently accused of the same category of crime.

Conviction review body still years away

By OUR LEGAL CORRESPONDENT

THE home secretary's widely predicted backing for an independent review body to tackle miscarriages of justice is unlikely to result in legislation before 1995. Officials say that proposals could not be expected in the next parliamentary session because the details would take time to work out.

The formation of the authority was the centrepiece of the proposals by the Royal Commission on Criminal Justice. It would take over the investigation of alleged miscarriages from the Home Office, and would decide whether to refer cases to the Court of Appeal.

Contrary to wide speculation, Michael Howard did not announce proposals to curb a defendant's right to elect jury trial, despite support from the Director of Public Prosecutions, Barbara Mills QC. The Lord Chief Justice, Lord Taylor

of Gossforth, had already made clear his opposition to a change. Such a reform, which would strike at a fundamental legal right, would be politically controversial.

Yesterday, Lord Runciman of Doxford, whose royal commission recommended ending the right to elect jury trial, said that he did not expect every one of the commission's recommendations to draw a response. It did not necessarily mean that a proposal was not being considered.

Mr Howard also failed to mention plea-bargaining, another of the royal commission's proposals. Sir Nicholas Lyell QC, the attorney-general, hinted in his speech yesterday that he supported the idea of an open court hearing in which a defendant could ask the judge what sentence the court "has in mind for a plea to a particular charge".

Mr Howard's other announcements aimed at enhancing court powers are expected to be enacted swiftly. In particular, he is to end the widely criticised warning which judges must give to juries in rape cases: that it is dangerous to convict solely on the uncorroborated evidence of the complainant. Judge Pearlman said recently that she "drew a deep breath" every time she had to issue the warning.

Second, he plans wider powers for the attorney-general to appeal against sentences he considers too lenient. Currently he has this power only for the most serious offences tried in the crown court. It would be extended to violent and sexual offences carrying more than 10 years' imprisonment, including indecent assault and child cruelty.

More jails to meet rise in offenders

By RICHARD FORD
HOME CORRESPONDENT

SIX new prisons to hold an additional 3,600 inmates are to be built in the next five years to cope with the expected increase in the jail population. Plans for jails at Bridgend, Mid Glamorgan, and Fazakerley in Liverpool are already well advanced. The prison service will now find sites for four new establishments in the North West, Midlands and east London. But the new prisons, to be financed by the private sector,

PRISONS

will not be in operation soon enough to cope with the rise in prison numbers, predicted to reach 50,000 some time next year.

In addition to the new jails, the government is to build five secure units each housing 40 persistent young offenders aged between 12 and 14. The new secure training order, with a maximum two-year sentence, will be the centrepiece of a Criminal Justice Act to be introduced in the next session of parliament.

Michael Howard's declaration that "prison works" contradicts the Thatcher government's assertion that prison was an "expensive way of making bad people worse". His comments were greeted with dismay by penal reform groups, who accused him of abandoning a policy of punishment in the community that had applied to all but the most serious offenders.

Prisoners will win extra privileges if they pass drugs tests, part of Mr Howard's plans to reduce drug abuse in

THE SUNDAY TIMES
International Festival of Fine Wine and Food
Olympia 2 London
October 2nd-10th 1993

"A celebration of the world's finest wine and food for the truly discerning." Raymond Blanc

- Sample and savour from vineyards all over the world.
- Revel in the gastronomic delights of the Specialty Foods Floor.
- Dine with the chefs.
- Discover the secrets of the masters in The Bulthaup & Gaggenau Theatre with amongst many, Anton Mosmatt, Raymond Blanc, Valentina Harris and Maria José Sevilla.
- Learn from over 180 free tutored tastings on every subject from wine and cheese to chocolate and olive oil.

The pleasures are many and varied but tickets are few and certain to be over-subscribed.

The ticket price includes: FREE participation in all tastings, seminars and demonstrations, a FREE souvenir wine glass and FREE delivery of purchases in mainland UK.

Full day price: £10.00 - 17.30 hours
£15 a single ticket
£25 a double ticket

Special Late Night Opening: Thursday 7 & Friday 8 October 17.30 - 20.30 hours

£8 a single ticket
£15 a double ticket

opens today

Decanter
Ticket Hotline: 071 373 8141 or pay at the door

5 REAMS PHOTOCOPIER PAPER
DISCOUNT PRICE
5.50 + VAT
OFFER LIMITED TO 10 BOXES PER CUSTOMER

makro
GUARANTEE ALL OUR PRICES ARE THE LOWEST in the office

SEIKOSHA SP-1900 PRINTER
DISCOUNT PRICE
99.99 + VAT
IF YOU CAN FIND ANY OF OUR \$5,000 HIGH END PRODUCT LINES CHEAPER ANYWHERE ELSE WE'LL REFUND THE DIFFERENCE

B.T. FREELANCE 100 CORDLESS PHONE
DISCOUNT PRICE
59.99 + VAT

AMBRASPRINTA 386/33/4/80 VGA/DOS 6.0 + WINDOWS 3.1
DISCOUNT PRICE
599.99 + VAT

AMBRASPRINTA 486/25/4/125/VGA
DISCOUNT PRICE
699.99 + VAT

OFFERS AVAILABLE FROM 8th OCT. TO 13th OCT.

OFFERS AVAILABLE WHILE STOCKS LAST

makro tradecard WHERE DISCOUNT SAVINGS ARE ALWAYS ON THE CARDS

22 STORES NATIONWIDE ALL CONVENIENTLY LOCATED AT:

ABERDEEN	ENFIELD	NEWCASTLE-UPON-TYNE
BRISTOL	FAREHAM	NORTH ACTON
CARDIFF	GLASGOW	NOTTINGHAM
CHARLTON	HALESOWEN (BIRMINGHAM)	POOLE
CROYDON	HULL	READING
ECLES	LEEDS	SHEFFIELD
(MANCHESTER)	LEICESTER	WASHINGTON
EDINBURGH	LIVERPOOL	(TYNE & WEAR)

IF YOU'RE IN BUSINESS AND NOT REGISTERED PHONE NOW FOR YOUR FREE TRADECARD ON 051 356 5900

Mail wa station live on

Shipwreck heroes rescued by satellites

Closing Doors
HAND MADE OIL CARPETS +
80% OFF
GENUINE REDUCED STOCK OF PERSIAN RAGGERS + TRIBAL RUGS ALL STOCK MUST BE REASONABLE OFFER WILL OPEN SUNDAY 11.00 AM
3 - Old Bond St. Belgrave Carpet Gallery London W1 (Piccadilly)
Tel: 071 499 9000 Fax: 071 408 9000

American named in \$40m fraud plot

Art restorer denies role in execution of accountant

By PAUL WILKINSON

TWO hired assassins executed a British businessman to prevent him talking about a multi-million pound international fraud, a court was told yesterday. David Wilson, 47, a Lancashire accountant, was said to have been an innocent pawn in setting up the fraud.

When he discovered what was happening he broke contact with the American behind it, a jury at Carlisle Crown Court was told. After the accountant was interviewed by police, the American, who uses many aliases including Hector Meratto Portillo, asked a British contact to arrange for someone to "blow the hell out of that Wilson".

Yesterday the contact, Stephen Schepke, 46, a former art restorer from Sidcup, Kent, denied conspiracy to murder Mr Wilson or aiding and abetting in the killing on March 6 last year.

Brian Leveson QC, for the prosecution, said: "The Crown alleges that he helped set up Mr Wilson for death. He provided false names, bank accounts and intelligence for the assassins," although he played no part in the actual killing. The two gunmen have not been traced.

Mr Leveson said that Mr Schepke had "tried to run with the hare and chase with the hounds", going along with the murder plot and the fraud at the same time as he had informed the authorities of the fraud. But in the two weeks before Mr Wilson was shot dead in the garage of his home

near Chorley, Mr Schepke had broken all contact with the authorities. "It was impossible that he did not know what was happening, yet he took no steps to inform the police. It was obvious that he was deeply involved in the murder."

Mr Leveson said that Mr Schepke was part of the fraud, said to involve \$40 million (£26.5 million), in which a cargo of cigarettes was shipped across the Atlantic to Rotterdam. At some point, the ship, which had been bought by Mr Wilson, was to be scuttled and an insurance claim made.

Mr Schepke stood to make £60,000 in commissions from Mr Portillo in the deal, but had decided on his own "insurance" if things went wrong. He began passing informa-

tion, first to agents of Lloyd's of London and then to detectives in the City of London fraud squad.

Mr Leveson said that Mr Portillo had told Mr Schepke "not once but many times he wanted Wilson disposed of. He wanted nothing left about the fraud — no paperwork, no meat, no bones."

Mr Schepke, using the name Paul Harris, found a private detective to locate and photograph Mr Wilson's home outside the village of Withnell. The information was passed to Mr Portillo.


"Schepke played a modest but extremely important role in the cold-blooded murder of David Wilson," said Mr Leveson. "He helped obtain intelligence for the gunmen, knowing what it would be used for."

On the night of the killing, two armed men, their faces masked by balaclavas, forced their way into the Wilson home. His elder daughter Michelle, 27, was there alone. She was tied up and threatened. The men asked for her father and said he had been talking about the fraud. They then waited for Mr Wilson to return with his wife Barbara, their other daughter Lisa Marie and her fiancé Mark Stephenson. They were all bound and Mr Wilson was taken outside. His body was later discovered with two gunshot wounds fired at close range.

The hearing continues today.



Schepke denies being involved in murder



Viscount Linley and his bride-to-be, the Hon Serena Stanhope, take time off from preparations for their wedding tomorrow to relax on the lily pond at Windsor Great Park. The Queen will lead the 750 wedding guests, who will attend a reception at St James's Palace

Millionaire twins buy Channel island

By RACHEL KELLY

PROPERTY CORRESPONDENT

THE secretive Barclay brothers, identical twins who have lived for years in tax exile in Monte Carlo, have added the remote island of Brechou in the Channel Islands to their considerable collection of properties.

David and Frederick Barclay, who have a fortune estimated at £500 million and who own *The European* newspaper, have bought the tax-free haven a short distance from Sark for a sum believed to be close to the £3.5 million asking price.

David Barclay, whose business interests include shipping, hotels and travel, took his seat yesterday in Chief Pleas, the governing body of Sark. Ownership of Brechou's freehold entitles the owner to a seat on Chief Pleas and admission into the island's government, known as Quarantine.

Under feudal law, the island can be bought only by a Briton who is prepared to swear loyalty to the Queen, and the owner of Brechou has to provide two men with muskets to defend Michael Beaumont, seigneur of Sark, if the island is attacked.

The 80-acre island has a seven-bedroom manor house, a dower house with two flats, four stone cottages, and various outbuildings and paddocks. It also has a private harbour and helicopter pad. The island is about 1,000 yards in length and 600 yards at its widest point.



Map of the Channel Islands showing the location of Brechou

Spare tourist's killers, says family

By NICHOLAS WATT

THE girl friend and family of the British tourist murdered in Florida last month spoke out yesterday against imposing the death penalty on his killers.

Four teenagers have been charged over the killing of Gary Colley. Margaret Jagger urged US authorities not to pass the death sentence on them. "Neither Gary nor I believe in capital punishment and family and friends also agree with this view."

Mr Colley's father Terry said: "I am not out for revenge. That's not going to bring back Gary. You can't let your life be ruined by bitterness and anger. As far as Gary's killers are concerned, I will just let the police do their job."

Ms Jagger, 35, from Wilsden, West Yorkshire, who was injured in the attack in Tallahassee, northern Florida, said she suffered from flashbacks of the attack when Gary Colley was shot dead as they slept in a roadside rest area.

"I don't dream about this, I just get these flashbacks all the time," she said. "I was fully

conscious all the time and saw everything. I will now try to rebuild my life without Gary, whom I have been with and have loved for fourteen and a half years."

Wearing a grey Florida State T-shirt, she said of the shooting: "There was nothing we could do in the situation. Feelings of guilt have gone through my mind but there was nothing we could do."

"I am just numb," she continued. "I don't really feel much for them [the youths] one way or the other. The biggest feeling is Gary not being with me. That is the biggest issue."

Ms Jagger has returned to the neat stone cottage she shared with Mr Colley. She said the memories she sees around the house help her to cope with the tragedy. "I am now trying to rebuild my life without Gary and am living at the house we shared because I am nearer to Gary there and get comfort from living there."

Mr Colley, who fled to death from a neck wound, was

the ninth foreign tourist killed in Florida in less than a year.

Ms Jagger hoped the killing might lead to a change in America's gun laws. "If this makes new waves about gun laws and saves someone else from getting killed, then Gary has died for a reason, I suppose," she said.

"I believe there are a lot of

problems in America and our country regarding the underprivileged, drugs, and crime, and I regard it as sad that people have to resort to going out to get guns to get kicks."

She said the shooting had not deterred her from visiting America. "It is a beautiful country. I will still go and tourists should go, but they should be careful. We have been so many times since 1976 and we thought we knew what we were doing but this sort of thing can happen anywhere. It can happen in Bradford."

Earlier, Mr Colley's mother spoke out against the death penalty for her son's killers, Brenda Armitage, of Shipley near Bradford, who is divorced from Gary's father, said she could not forgive the killers, but added: "I don't want them to get the death penalty because I know Gary would not have wanted that."

"The only reason I want them caught is so that they can't kill anybody else and nobody else can go through what we're going through."



Jagger tearfully recalls murder of boy friend

Two years for killing reveller

By A STAFF REPORTER

A MAN killed a man outside a pub because he thought he was laughing at his friend, a court heard yesterday.

Simon Dash, 27, headbutted Christopher Ashton, 25, who was celebrating Red Nose Day on March 12, when comic stunts are staged for charity, because he thought Mr Ashton was sniggering at a man whose throat had been cut.

Dash, a computer programmer, who admitted manslaughter, was jailed for two years at Winchester Crown Court yesterday.

Dash had run out of a pub in Hythe, Hampshire, chasing a man who had hit his friend with a glass, inflicting a gash in his neck, the court was told.

Guy Boney, for the prosecution, said that when Dash saw Mr Ashton laughing at a joke, he assumed he was laughing at his friend's injuries.

Mr Boney said: "Mr Dash said to Christopher Ashton: 'why are you laughing, do you think it's funny?'"

"Mr Ashton shrugged and Dash headbutted him and said: 'My mate has been glassed in there and all you can do is laugh.' Mr Ashton died in hospital ten days after the incident."

Stewart Jones, for the defence, said Dash felt remorse for his actions.

Father tells court how gunman tried to hijack his car

By STEWART TENDLER, CRIME CORRESPONDENT

A YOUNG father told the Old Bailey yesterday how two men tried to hijack his car as he drove his wife and their 10-month-old baby home.

One of the men ordered him at gunpoint to get out of his car. He sped off in first gear, fearing that the gunman would open fire, but there were no shots.

The driver, named only as B, was giving evidence on the second day of the trial of Patrick Kelly, 41, who has denied charges including conspiracy to cause an explosion and attempted murder. He is accused of being the driver of a lorry carrying 3.2 tons of explosive which was discovered when the vehicle was stopped by police. One officer was shot pursuing two men who fled from the lorry.

The witness told the court that he was slowing down at a junction in Stoke Newington, north London, last November when his car was waved down by one of two men. He said: "As I wound down the window, he grabbed hold of the door and pulled it open about a foot. I grabbed the door from the inside and he then put his hand into his trousers and pulled out a gun."

He said that the revolver

was "like in the films — about six inches to seven inches long". As he was ordered out of the car his wife, who was holding their baby in the back seat, began screaming.

Asked what his first thought was, the witness said: "To drive off. I drove off as fast as I could in first gear." He reached a T-junction and turned without looking. He said his big worry was that the gunman would start shooting.

He said that he could not describe the second man, who stood on the pavement during the attempted hijack. He remembered that the man was shouting "Let's go."

Earlier, a housewife from Stoke Newington told the court that she was only a few feet away when a policeman was shot as he struggled with two men. She said that she had seen the men running towards her and, as a police car turned into the road, they hid in a garden.

She told the policeman where they were. He tried to grab them and they struggled to get away. She said: "I heard a big bang. The officer fell down with his feet on the pavement and his head in the road. I was very upset."

The trial continues today.

Boxing claimed to sharpen the brain

By JEREMY LAURANCE, HEALTH SERVICES CORRESPONDENT

AMATEUR boxing does not cause brain damage and may improve the speed of mental functioning, researchers claim.

A study of 86 amateur boxers who were tested before and after bouts and up to two years later found their memory and speed of functioning to be significantly improved. The improvement was greater than among 31 water polo players and 47 rugby players who were also tested.

Experts who reviewed the study before it was published in the *Journal of Neurology* were so concerned that they asked for the findings to be toned down.

Richard Butler, a clinical psychologist at High Royds Hospital, Leeds, and author of the study, said: "The experts insisted we didn't make too much of the positive effects. The British Medical

Association has a policy on banning boxing. The reviewers almost forced us to add statements playing the thing down."

A quarter of the boxers in the study received 30 or more blows to the head but their performance on the tests was no different from the rest. Immediately after competition, boxers performed significantly better than other athletes in adding up a list of numbers — a skill known to be particularly sensitive to the effect of blows to the head.

Dr Butler said that raised levels of adrenaline increasing the blood supply and heightening arousal could account for the improvement after a bout but not in the long term. Although familiarity with a test improves performance, the boxers made bigger gains than the other

sportsmen. "The main finding of the study, which confirms research from around the world, is that amateur boxing is safe and it is wrong to classify it with professional boxing."

Amateur boxers fight bouts of only three rounds and are subject to tighter regulations that reduce the risk of injury. The Cancer Research Campaign last night called for a ban on cigarette advertising. Professor Gordon McVie, scientific director, said there was a definite link between advertising and young smokers and that the government would have to change its policy to achieve its aim of reducing the number of smokers by a third before 2000.

Eubank snubbed, page 39

The fax about the P4.

The NEFAX i300 is the first truly portable, personal fax machine.

Connected to a P4, it can be used almost anywhere in the UK.


Powered by battery, mains or car, it's easy to connect, simple to use and virtually error free. Light in weight, heavy on practicality.

For more information, call us now quoting the reference number below.


Now you can be in, even when you're out.

0345 300 103


(All calls charged at local rate. Lines open 24 hours a day, 7 days a week.) The NEC logo and NEFAX are trademarks and registered trademarks of NEC Corporation



NEC



0% finance.



(Don't say we never give you nothing.)

Buy a new three or four-door Honda Civic* before the end of October and we'll give you a 0% finance deal.

That's 40% deposit and the balance interest free over two years.

Typical Example: Civic 3 Door LSi Manual						
On the Road	Deposit	Balance	Flat Rate	APR	Monthly Payments	Total Amount Payable
£11,515	40%	£6,909	0%	0%	1 x £287.99	
	£4,606				23 x £287.87	£11,515

In return for which you get to experience the many and varied pleasures of being a Civic owner. Which, on nearly every model in the range, includes power-steering, electric windows and the peace

of mind of Hondacare Assistance. The VTi model also boasts the remarkable VTEC engine and ABS as standard.

But the thing that all Civics have in common is the much talked-about unique Honda driving feel.

If you'd like to experience one of life's best feelings, just call the phone number below and we'll give you details of the Civic 0% Finance deal and our other flexible offers.

While you're about it, why not arrange to test drive a Civic? It costs nothing and once you've driven one, we think you'll agree there's nothing quite like it.

THE CIVIC 0% FINANCE OFFER. PHONE 0800 159 159.

*OFFER EXCLUDES CIVIC BALI AND CRX RANGE. OFFER SUBJECT TO STATUS AVAILABLE ON CIVICS BOUGHT AND REGISTERED BETWEEN 1ST OCTOBER TO 31ST OCTOBER 1993. WRITTEN QUOTATIONS AVAILABLE FROM CHARTERED TRUST PLC, 24-26 NEWPORT ROAD, CARDIFF CF2 1SR. CAR SHOWN CIVIC VTi 3 DOOR. PRICE £14,550. CORRECT WHEN GOING TO PRESS, EXCLUDES ROAD FUND LICENCE AND THE STANDARD ON THE ROAD PACKAGE OF £395 FOR DELIVERY AND NUMBER PLATES.


HONDA
One of
life's best feelings.

مكتبة الأمل

Rail watchdog fears station sale could drive out passengers

By TIM JONES AND RACHEL KELLY

THERE must be safeguards against passengers being left without rail access to city centres under the government's plans to sell its show-case train stations, the main rail watchdog committee said yesterday.

A spokesman for the central transport consultative committee, which is charged with safeguarding the interests of passengers, said: "Some of the mainline station sites are extremely valuable. There must be safeguards to prevent private companies who buy the best sites from redeveloping them and building stations on the outskirts of towns or cities."

Under the scheme, part of John MacGregor's controversial rail privatisation proposals, private companies are being invited to lease at least 12 stations for up to 125 years. The most likely stations to attract interest from private sector companies are Glasgow Central, Edinburgh Waverley, Leeds, Manchester Piccadilly, Birmingham New Street, London Bridge, King's Cross, Liverpool Street, Paddington, Waterloo, Euston, Victoria and Charing Cross.

Last night, property analysts were considering who

would be interested in the deal. Alistair Parker, head of retail development at Healey & Baker, a leading commercial property consultant firm, said that the sale could appeal to pension fund managers working with property companies.

He said: "This planned sell-off could be very attractive. At some stations the number of travellers passing through the concourse during rush hour is nearly as many as those walking down Oxford Street."

Some of the stations are in prime locations and have been extensively refurbished. Liverpool Street station, crucial for commuters to the City of London, has just been given a £150 million facelift. Waterloo, which handles about 200,000 passengers a day, has a newly completed but unused £130 million international terminal, built to meet the needs of the £3 billion high-speed Channel tunnel link from Folkestone. It may never be used as St Pancras is likely to be chosen as the London link terminus.

Brian Wilson, Labour transport spokesman, has condemned the scheme as "a crude attempt at asset stripping on a grand scale". He

said: "All these stations have enjoyed enormous investment of taxpayers' money."

Although British Rail made £48 million last year from letting space at its stations, Mr MacGregor, the transport secretary, claimed that the stations had for too long been the "Cinderellas of the system".

Mr MacGregor said that the freeholds of all BR's 2,500 stations, which include unstaffed halts on rural branch lines, will be transferred to Railtrack to ensure they retain their main role of serving passengers and new train operators.

"These proposals are designed to bring in private-sector finance and expertise to exploit their development and commercial trading potential and help provide a more attractive environment to managers," Mr MacGregor said.

Leading article, page 17

Patten refuses to help Mensa girl

By JOHN O'LEARY, EDUCATION EDITOR

LUCINDA Cash-Gibson, who became the youngest member of Mensa at the age of four, has been refused state help with her school fees because civil servants say she is not bright enough to need special treatment.

Lucinda's mother Coral is to take the education secretary, John Patten, to the High Court to challenge the decision. She has waged a three-year legal battle to win support from her local authority in Camden, north London.

Mrs Cash-Gibson said yesterday: "Lulu has been assessed as in the top 0.02 per cent of the population and has other special needs, and yet I am told she can fulfil her potential in a system that has already failed her. I would invite Mr Patten to show me a Camden school that will cater for her properly."

Lucinda was withdrawn from two state schools and taught at home by her mother. She is now at North Bridge House School, where her fees were paid by a company that founded after the collapse of the Bank of Credit and Commerce International.

A single mother, Mrs Cash-Gibson cannot afford to pay £1,600 a term. She had been relying on Mr Patten to overturn Camden's refusal to issue a statement of special educational needs and pay the fees.

The education department said yesterday Mr Patten was satisfied that a state school could meet Lucinda's needs.

An independent assessment last year found that Lucinda had the vocabulary of a 13-year-old and the visual memory expected of a 16-year-old. The psychologist's report recommended educating her with older pupils, and added that she required attention for dyslexic tendencies and other special needs. Camden's assessment did not consider that her needs warranted a formal statement.

A letter from the education department's appeals team giving Mr Patten's decision said: "In the secretary of state's opinion, this view is borne out by the fact that, although Lucinda is performing at above average levels, her attainments are by no means exceptional."



Lucinda Cash-Gibson, whose mother is seeking state aid for her school fees

Shipwreck heroes usurped by satellites

By LUCY BERRINGTON

SHIPWRECKS are not what they used to be, according to members of the Shipwrecked Mariners' Society (SMS), as a presentation yesterday of an award for gallantry highlighted the diminishing opportunities for naval heroes.

Lord Lewin, Admiral of the Fleet and president of the SMS, presented an award for an outstanding air-sea rescue operation near Guernsey last October to a Sea King helicopter crew from Naval Air Squadron 772. They had lifted ten people from a flooding cargo vessel in darkness and gale, with waves up to 50ft high.

However, it was evident that satellite navigation systems and improved safety equipment have been bad news for the navy's heroes. Two other prizes for sea rescues were not awarded as no sufficiently impressive cases could be found.

The society praised the "outstanding performance and selfless courage" of the 772 helicopter crew. The accolades compensate for the silence of shipwreck survivors, few of whom thank their rescuers. "I don't want to seem ungrateful," Lieutenant Michael Langley, the 772 pilot, said, "but we get surprisingly little feedback. I think people are slightly embarrassed about being in that situation."



Langley: few survivors thank their rescuers

Therapists see Britain sink into depression

By JEREMY LAURANCE
HEALTH SERVICES
CORRESPONDENT

BRITONS are becoming increasingly miserable, anxious and depressed, according to psychiatrists.

They believe that Margaret Thatcher's drive to make the country more competitive in the early 1980s exacted a heavy psychological toll, with the number of people reporting depression or other psychiatric illness increasing from 22 per cent to 31 per cent.

Researchers who compared mental health surveys in 1977 and 1985 found the rise in unemployment over the period did not account for an 8 per cent increase in neurotic unhappiness. But fear of unemployment and the perception that Britain was becoming a tougher society offering less protection to the weak may have done so.

Dr Glyn Lewis, of the Institute of Psychiatry, chief author of the study published in the *Journal of Epidemiology*, said: "It is difficult to provide evidence that stressful incidents became more common or that there is a link with the election of a right-wing government in 1979."

"But the thrust of government policy was to make the country more competitive and to reduce safety nets. Britain has become a tougher place."

The sharp rise in the suicide rate among young men, which has been linked with the severing of family ties, could be explained by the rise in psychiatric illness. "This is a very important condition of enormous public health importance which we need to sort out," Dr Lewis said.

The media's inaccurate portrayal of people suffering from mental health problems as being violent is perpetuating the stigma attached to mental illness, according to a study by the Scottish Mental Health Working Group.

Body and Mind, page 14



kidz

VYMURA
DINOSAURS
FOREVER FRIENDS
Pattern No 01-100
ONLY £5.99 PER ROLL

POLYCELL
WALLPAPER
ADHESIVE
All-purpose economy pack. Hangs up to 10 rolls.
£1.79 PER PACK

LOWEST PRICE
GUARANTEE

If you find the same goods you've purchased from Texas on sale cheaper elsewhere, we'll refund the difference.

TEL: 081-200 0200
FOR YOUR NEAREST
TEXAS SUPERSTORE



PRICEWATCH

Making sure you can't buy cheaper-everyday!

At most Texas superstores now, you'll find our superb new Wallcovering Centres... with Britain's finest choice, in Britain's most helpful displays. Hundreds of top-name patterns arranged by style so you can head straight for the look you want. All this and PRICEWATCH too - **Keep an eye on Texas Wallcoverings now!**

Splash

CROWN CALYPSO
WASHABLE WALLCOVERINGS
Choice of 8 patterns... £2.99 PER ROLL
MATCHING BORDERS ONLY £1.99

Novamura

SOFT WASHABLE
WALLCOVERINGS
ALL ROLLS AT JUST... £3.99 PER ROLL

DARING DIFFERENT

JEFF BANKS
WALLPAPERS
Choice of 6 patterns... £5.99 PER ROLL
MATCHING BORDERS ONLY £4.99

Match-point

CO-ORDINATING
WALLPAPERS
Choice of 20 patterns... £4.99 PER ROLL
MATCHING BORDERS ONLY £2.99

VINYL

WALLCOVERINGS
Choice of 20 patterns... £5.99 PER ROLL
MATCHING BORDERS FROM £2.99

crown

NON-PASTED VINYL
WALLCOVERINGS
A choice of assorted designs... £3.99 PER ROLL
MATCHING BORDERS ONLY £2.99

Country

INTERIOR MOTIFS
FROM CROWN
Choice of 7 patterns... £3.99 PER ROLL
MATCHING BORDERS ONLY £1.99

FINE ART SANCERRE

VINYL WALLCOVERINGS
Choice of 5 patterns... £5.99 PER ROLL
MATCHING BORDERS ONLY £3.99

CLASSIC

COLOROLL
WALLPAPERS
Choice of 5 patterns... £4.99 PER ROLL
MATCHING BORDERS ONLY £2.99

VYMURA

READY PASTED VINYL
WALLCOVERINGS
Choice of 5 patterns... £6.99 PER ROLL

Fablon

PEEL & STICK
BORDERS
Choice of 6 patterns... £2.99 PER ROLL

SHAPED EDGE

PEEL & STICK BORDERS
Choice of 6 patterns... £3.99 PER ROLL

TEXTURES

SUPERFRESCO
COLOURED TEXTURED VINYL
Choice of 6 patterns... £5.99 PER ROLL

CROWN LIVING TEXTURES

WHITE VINYL WALLCOVERINGS
Choice of 8 patterns... £3.99 PER ROLL

Closing Down Sale
(END OF LEASE)
**HAND MADE ORIENTAL
CARPETS + RUGS**

80% OFF
GENUINE REDUCTIONS
HUGE STOCK OF PERSIAN (TURKISH SILK)
KASHMIR + TRIBAL RUGS & CARPETS
ALL STOCK MUST BE CLEARED
REASONABLE OFFER WILL NOT BE REFUSED

OPEN SUNDAY 11.00am-5.00pm
Weekdays 9.30am - 6.00pm (Late night Thursday till 7.00pm)
Belgrave Carpet Gallery Ltd
3 - Old Bond Street
London W1 (Piccadilly End)
Tel: 071 499 6149
Fax: 071 408 2496

TEXAS

HOME CARE

OPEN LATE
TILL 8PM
MON - SAT
SUN* 9AM-6PM

Any product temporarily out of stock can be ordered. Smaller stores may not carry a full range & opening times may vary. Products & offers may vary in Northern Ireland. *Where permitted.

Vole holds the secret to fidelity

By NIGEL HAWKES
SCIENCE EDITOR

AMERICAN scientists have discovered the secret of a long and successful marriage — in the male prairie vole.

A chemical triggered by sexual intercourse is all that is needed to turn the male vole into a loyal husband and a fierce defender of his mate. In many ways, the prairie vole makes the perfect husband. A small, mouse-like creature, this vole mates for life, and once he has chosen his mate he defends her aggressively against intruders. Reporting in *Nature*, the researchers from the US National Institute of Medical Health in Poolesville, Maryland, say that arginine-vasopressin (AVP) pathways are created in the brain by mating, and cause both a lifelong bond, and the instinct to defend the mate.

The same was not found when identical experiments were done with another hormone, oxytocin, which is released during human intercourse and has been called "the cuddle chemical".

For prairie voles, evidently, only AVP will do. The situation in humans is clearly much more complicated.



Britain's native deer is increasingly interbreeding with the imported silka

Interloper threatens wild red deer

By NICK NUTTALL
ENVIRONMENT CORRESPONDENT

THE monarch of the glen, an enduring symbol of Britain, may disappear from the Scottish mainland by the end of the century. Interbreeding between wild red deer and silka deer, an exotic Victorian import, has become widespread.

Conservationists and zoologists believed that hybrids were relatively rare and posed little threat to indigenous red deer populations. However, tissue samples taken from deer shot on the Kintyre and Cowal peninsulas indicate interbreeding is rife. The findings, based on DNA genetic analysis of blood samples by Kate Abernethy, of Edinburgh University, suggest that up to 22 per cent of deer found in the area are now crosses.

Many conservationists and wildlife scientists believe that, by the next century, the true native red deer will be confined to the islands.

Kasparov overlooks lone chance to take game

GARRY Kasparov, the world chess champion, had hoped that game 13 of *The Times* World Chess Championship on Tuesday would break the recent gridlock of draws, but Nigel Short defended well, only giving the champion a chance on move 28, which Kasparov overlooked.

White: Garry Kasparov
Black: Nigel Short
Queen's Gambit, Slav Defence

1 d4 c5
2 c4 c6
3 Nc3 Nf6
4 Nf3 dxc4
5 e4 b5
6 e5 b4
7 Bxc4 Nbd7
8 O-O Bg6
9 Nbd2 Qc7
10 Bb3 Qc8
11 Qc2 Qc7
12 Qc3 Qc7
13 Qc4 Qc7
14 Qc5 Qc7
15 Nc3 Qc7
16 Qc4 Qc7
17 Qc5 Qc7
18 Qc6 Qc7
19 Rd1 Qc7
20 Rd2 Qc7
21 Rd3 Qc7
22 Rd4 Qc7
23 Rd5 Qc7
24 Rd6 Qc7
25 Rd7 Qc7
26 Rd8 Qc7
27 Rd9 Qc7
28 Rd10 Qc7
29 Rd11 Qc7
30 Rd12 Qc7
31 Rd13 Qc7
32 Rd14 Qc7
33 Rd15 Qc7
34 Rd16 Qc7
35 Rd17 Qc7
36 Rd18 Qc7
37 Rd19 Qc7
38 Rd20 Qc7
39 Rd21 Qc7
40 Rd22 Qc7
41 Rd23 Qc7
42 Rd24 Qc7
43 Rd25 Qc7
44 Rd26 Qc7
45 Rd27 Qc7
46 Rd28 Qc7
47 Rd29 Qc7
48 Rd30 Qc7
49 Rd31 Qc7
50 Rd32 Qc7
51 Rd33 Qc7
52 Rd34 Qc7
53 Rd35 Qc7
54 Rd36 Qc7
55 Rd37 Qc7
56 Rd38 Qc7
57 Rd39 Qc7
58 Rd40 Qc7
59 Rd41 Qc7
60 Rd42 Qc7
61 Rd43 Qc7
62 Rd44 Qc7
63 Rd45 Qc7
64 Rd46 Qc7
65 Rd47 Qc7
66 Rd48 Qc7
67 Rd49 Qc7
68 Rd50 Qc7
69 Rd51 Qc7
70 Rd52 Qc7
71 Rd53 Qc7
72 Rd54 Qc7
73 Rd55 Qc7
74 Rd56 Qc7
75 Rd57 Qc7
76 Rd58 Qc7
77 Rd59 Qc7
78 Rd60 Qc7
79 Rd61 Qc7
80 Rd62 Qc7
81 Rd63 Qc7
82 Rd64 Qc7
83 Rd65 Qc7
84 Rd66 Qc7
85 Rd67 Qc7
86 Rd68 Qc7
87 Rd69 Qc7
88 Rd70 Qc7
89 Rd71 Qc7
90 Rd72 Qc7
91 Rd73 Qc7
92 Rd74 Qc7
93 Rd75 Qc7
94 Rd76 Qc7
95 Rd77 Qc7
96 Rd78 Qc7
97 Rd79 Qc7
98 Rd80 Qc7
99 Rd81 Qc7
100 Rd82 Qc7
101 Rd83 Qc7
102 Rd84 Qc7
103 Rd85 Qc7
104 Rd86 Qc7
105 Rd87 Qc7
106 Rd88 Qc7
107 Rd89 Qc7
108 Rd90 Qc7
109 Rd91 Qc7
110 Rd92 Qc7
111 Rd93 Qc7
112 Rd94 Qc7
113 Rd95 Qc7
114 Rd96 Qc7
115 Rd97 Qc7
116 Rd98 Qc7
117 Rd99 Qc7
118 Rd100 Qc7
119 Rd101 Qc7
120 Rd102 Qc7
121 Rd103 Qc7
122 Rd104 Qc7
123 Rd105 Qc7
124 Rd106 Qc7
125 Rd107 Qc7
126 Rd108 Qc7
127 Rd109 Qc7
128 Rd110 Qc7
129 Rd111 Qc7
130 Rd112 Qc7
131 Rd113 Qc7
132 Rd114 Qc7
133 Rd115 Qc7
134 Rd116 Qc7
135 Rd117 Qc7
136 Rd118 Qc7
137 Rd119 Qc7
138 Rd120 Qc7
139 Rd121 Qc7
140 Rd122 Qc7
141 Rd123 Qc7
142 Rd124 Qc7
143 Rd125 Qc7
144 Rd126 Qc7
145 Rd127 Qc7
146 Rd128 Qc7
147 Rd129 Qc7
148 Rd130 Qc7
149 Rd131 Qc7
150 Rd132 Qc7
151 Rd133 Qc7
152 Rd134 Qc7
153 Rd135 Qc7
154 Rd136 Qc7
155 Rd137 Qc7
156 Rd138 Qc7
157 Rd139 Qc7
158 Rd140 Qc7
159 Rd141 Qc7
160 Rd142 Qc7
161 Rd143 Qc7
162 Rd144 Qc7
163 Rd145 Qc7
164 Rd146 Qc7
165 Rd147 Qc7
166 Rd148 Qc7
167 Rd149 Qc7
168 Rd150 Qc7
169 Rd151 Qc7
170 Rd152 Qc7
171 Rd153 Qc7
172 Rd154 Qc7
173 Rd155 Qc7
174 Rd156 Qc7
175 Rd157 Qc7
176 Rd158 Qc7
177 Rd159 Qc7
178 Rd160 Qc7
179 Rd161 Qc7
180 Rd162 Qc7
181 Rd163 Qc7
182 Rd164 Qc7
183 Rd165 Qc7
184 Rd166 Qc7
185 Rd167 Qc7
186 Rd168 Qc7
187 Rd169 Qc7
188 Rd170 Qc7
189 Rd171 Qc7
190 Rd172 Qc7
191 Rd173 Qc7
192 Rd174 Qc7
193 Rd175 Qc7
194 Rd176 Qc7
195 Rd177 Qc7
196 Rd178 Qc7
197 Rd179 Qc7
198 Rd180 Qc7
199 Rd181 Qc7
200 Rd182 Qc7
201 Rd183 Qc7
202 Rd184 Qc7
203 Rd185 Qc7
204 Rd186 Qc7
205 Rd187 Qc7
206 Rd188 Qc7
207 Rd189 Qc7
208 Rd190 Qc7
209 Rd191 Qc7
210 Rd192 Qc7
211 Rd193 Qc7
212 Rd194 Qc7
213 Rd195 Qc7
214 Rd196 Qc7
215 Rd197 Qc7
216 Rd198 Qc7
217 Rd199 Qc7
218 Rd200 Qc7
219 Rd201 Qc7
220 Rd202 Qc7
221 Rd203 Qc7
222 Rd204 Qc7
223 Rd205 Qc7
224 Rd206 Qc7
225 Rd207 Qc7
226 Rd208 Qc7
227 Rd209 Qc7
228 Rd210 Qc7
229 Rd211 Qc7
230 Rd212 Qc7
231 Rd213 Qc7
232 Rd214 Qc7
233 Rd215 Qc7
234 Rd216 Qc7
235 Rd217 Qc7
236 Rd218 Qc7
237 Rd219 Qc7
238 Rd220 Qc7
239 Rd221 Qc7
240 Rd222 Qc7
241 Rd223 Qc7
242 Rd224 Qc7
243 Rd225 Qc7
244 Rd226 Qc7
245 Rd227 Qc7
246 Rd228 Qc7
247 Rd229 Qc7
248 Rd230 Qc7
249 Rd231 Qc7
250 Rd232 Qc7
251 Rd233 Qc7
252 Rd234 Qc7
253 Rd235 Qc7
254 Rd236 Qc7
255 Rd237 Qc7
256 Rd238 Qc7
257 Rd239 Qc7
258 Rd240 Qc7
259 Rd241 Qc7
260 Rd242 Qc7
261 Rd243 Qc7
262 Rd244 Qc7
263 Rd245 Qc7
264 Rd246 Qc7
265 Rd247 Qc7
266 Rd248 Qc7
267 Rd249 Qc7
268 Rd250 Qc7
269 Rd251 Qc7
270 Rd252 Qc7
271 Rd253 Qc7
272 Rd254 Qc7
273 Rd255 Qc7
274 Rd256 Qc7
275 Rd257 Qc7
276 Rd258 Qc7
277 Rd259 Qc7
278 Rd260 Qc7
279 Rd261 Qc7
280 Rd262 Qc7
281 Rd263 Qc7
282 Rd264 Qc7
283 Rd265 Qc7
284 Rd266 Qc7
285 Rd267 Qc7
286 Rd268 Qc7
287 Rd269 Qc7
288 Rd270 Qc7
289 Rd271 Qc7
290 Rd272 Qc7
291 Rd273 Qc7
292 Rd274 Qc7
293 Rd275 Qc7
294 Rd276 Qc7
295 Rd277 Qc7
296 Rd278 Qc7
297 Rd279 Qc7
298 Rd280 Qc7
299 Rd281 Qc7
300 Rd282 Qc7
301 Rd283 Qc7
302 Rd284 Qc7
303 Rd285 Qc7
304 Rd286 Qc7
305 Rd287 Qc7
306 Rd288 Qc7
307 Rd289 Qc7
308 Rd290 Qc7
309 Rd291 Qc7
310 Rd292 Qc7
311 Rd293 Qc7
312 Rd294 Qc7
313 Rd295 Qc7
314 Rd296 Qc7
315 Rd297 Qc7
316 Rd298 Qc7
317 Rd299 Qc7
318 Rd300 Qc7
319 Rd301 Qc7
320 Rd302 Qc7
321 Rd303 Qc7
322 Rd304 Qc7
323 Rd305 Qc7
324 Rd306 Qc7
325 Rd307 Qc7
326 Rd308 Qc7
327 Rd309 Qc7
328 Rd310 Qc7
329 Rd311 Qc7
330 Rd312 Qc7
331 Rd313 Qc7
332 Rd314 Qc7
333 Rd315 Qc7
334 Rd316 Qc7
335 Rd317 Qc7
336 Rd318 Qc7
337 Rd319 Qc7
338 Rd320 Qc7
339 Rd321 Qc7
340 Rd322 Qc7
341 Rd323 Qc7
342 Rd324 Qc7
343 Rd325 Qc7
344 Rd326 Qc7
345 Rd327 Qc7
346 Rd328 Qc7
347 Rd329 Qc7
348 Rd330 Qc7
349 Rd331 Qc7
350 Rd332 Qc7
351 Rd333 Qc7
352 Rd334 Qc7
353 Rd335 Qc7
354 Rd336 Qc7
355 Rd337 Qc7
356 Rd338 Qc7
357 Rd339 Qc7
358 Rd340 Qc7
359 Rd341 Qc7
360 Rd342 Qc7
361 Rd343 Qc7
362 Rd344 Qc7
363 Rd345 Qc7
364 Rd346 Qc7
365 Rd347 Qc7
366 Rd348 Qc7
367 Rd349 Qc7
368 Rd350 Qc7
369 Rd351 Qc7
370 Rd352 Qc7
371 Rd353 Qc7
372 Rd354 Qc7
373 Rd355 Qc7
374 Rd356 Qc7
375 Rd357 Qc7
376 Rd358 Qc7
377 Rd359 Qc7
378 Rd360 Qc7
379 Rd361 Qc7
380 Rd362 Qc7
381 Rd363 Qc7
382 Rd364 Qc7
383 Rd365 Qc7
384 Rd366 Qc7
385 Rd367 Qc7
386 Rd368 Qc7
387 Rd369 Qc7
388 Rd370 Qc7
389 Rd371 Qc7
390 Rd372 Qc7
391 Rd373 Qc7
392 Rd374 Qc7
393 Rd375 Qc7
394 Rd376 Qc7
395 Rd377 Qc7
396 Rd378 Qc7
397 Rd379 Qc7
398 Rd380 Qc7
399 Rd381 Qc7
400 Rd382 Qc7
401 Rd383 Qc7
402 Rd384 Qc7
403 Rd385 Qc7
404 Rd386 Qc7
405 Rd387 Qc7
406 Rd388 Qc7
407 Rd389 Qc7
408 Rd390 Qc7
409 Rd391 Qc7
410 Rd392 Qc7
411 Rd393 Qc7
412 Rd394 Qc7
413 Rd395 Qc7
414 Rd396 Qc7
415 Rd397 Qc7
416 Rd398 Qc7
417 Rd399 Qc7
418 Rd400 Qc7
419 Rd401 Qc7
420 Rd402 Qc7
421 Rd403 Qc7
422 Rd404 Qc7
423 Rd405 Qc7
424 Rd406 Qc7
425 Rd407 Qc7
426 Rd408 Qc7
427 Rd409 Qc7
428 Rd410 Qc7
429 Rd411 Qc7
430 Rd412 Qc7
431 Rd413 Qc7
432 Rd414 Qc7
433 Rd415 Qc7
434 Rd416 Qc7
435 Rd417 Qc7
436 Rd418 Qc7
437 Rd419 Qc7
438 Rd420 Qc7
439 Rd421 Qc7
440 Rd422 Qc7
441 Rd423 Qc7
442 Rd424 Qc7
443 Rd425 Qc7
444 Rd426 Qc7
445 Rd427 Qc7
446 Rd428 Qc7
447 Rd429 Qc7
448 Rd430 Qc7
449 Rd431 Qc7
450 Rd432 Qc7
451 Rd433 Qc7
452 Rd434 Qc7
453 Rd435 Qc7
454 Rd436 Qc7
455 Rd437 Qc7
456 Rd438 Qc7
457 Rd439 Qc7
458 Rd440 Qc7
459 Rd441 Qc7
460 Rd442 Qc7
461 Rd443 Qc7
462 Rd444 Qc7
463 Rd445 Qc7
464 Rd446 Qc7
465 Rd447 Qc7
466 Rd448 Qc7
467 Rd449 Qc7
468 Rd450 Qc7
469 Rd451 Qc7
470 Rd452 Qc7
471 Rd453 Qc7
472 Rd454 Qc7
473 Rd455 Qc7
474 Rd456 Qc7
475 Rd457 Qc7
476 Rd458 Qc7
477 Rd459 Qc7
478 Rd460 Qc7
479 Rd461 Qc7
480 Rd462 Qc7
481 Rd463 Qc7
482 Rd464 Qc7
483 Rd465 Qc7
484 Rd466 Qc7
485 Rd467 Qc7
486 Rd468 Qc7
487 Rd469 Qc7
488 Rd470 Qc7
489 Rd471 Qc7
490 Rd472 Qc7
491 Rd473 Qc7
492 Rd474 Qc7
493 Rd475 Qc7
494 Rd476 Qc7
495 Rd477 Qc7
496 Rd478 Qc7
497 Rd479 Qc7
498 Rd480 Qc7
499 Rd481 Qc7
500 Rd482 Qc7
501 Rd483 Qc7
502 Rd484 Qc7
503 Rd485 Qc7
504 Rd486 Qc7
505 Rd487 Qc7
506 Rd488 Qc7
507 Rd489 Qc7
508 Rd490 Qc7
509 Rd491 Qc7
510 Rd492 Qc7
511 Rd493 Qc7
512 Rd494 Qc7
513 Rd495 Qc7
514 Rd496 Qc7
515 Rd497 Qc7
516 Rd498 Qc7
517 Rd499 Qc7
518 Rd500 Qc7
519 Rd501 Qc7
520 Rd502 Qc7
521 Rd503 Qc7
522 Rd504 Qc7
523 Rd505 Qc7
524 Rd506 Qc7
525 Rd507 Qc7
526 Rd508 Qc7
527 Rd509 Qc7
528 Rd510 Qc7
529 Rd511 Qc7
530 Rd512 Qc7
531 Rd513 Qc7
532 Rd514 Qc7
533 Rd515 Qc7
534 Rd516 Qc7
535 Rd517 Qc7
536 Rd518 Qc7
537 Rd519 Qc7
538 Rd520 Qc7
539 Rd521 Qc7
540 Rd522 Qc7
541 Rd523 Qc7
542 Rd524 Qc7
543 Rd525 Qc7
544 Rd526 Qc7
545 Rd527 Qc7
546 Rd528 Qc7
547 Rd529 Qc7
548 Rd530 Qc7
549 Rd531 Qc7
550 Rd532 Qc7
551 Rd533 Qc7
552 Rd534 Qc7
553 Rd535 Qc7
554 Rd536 Qc7
555 Rd537 Qc7
556 Rd538 Qc7
557 Rd539 Qc7
558 Rd540 Qc7
559 Rd541 Qc7
560 Rd542 Qc7
561 Rd543 Qc7
562 Rd544 Qc7
563 Rd545 Qc7
564 Rd546 Qc7
565 Rd547 Qc7
566 Rd548 Qc7
567 Rd549 Qc7
568 Rd550 Qc7
569 Rd551 Qc7
570 Rd552 Qc7
571 Rd553 Qc7
572 Rd554 Qc7
573 Rd555 Qc7
574 Rd556 Qc7
575 Rd557 Qc7
576 Rd558 Qc7
577 Rd559 Qc7
578 Rd560 Qc7
579 Rd561 Qc7
580 Rd562 Qc7
581 Rd563 Qc7
582 Rd564 Qc7
583 Rd565 Qc7
584 Rd566 Qc7
585 Rd567 Qc7
586 Rd568 Qc7
587 Rd569 Qc7
588 Rd570 Qc7
589 Rd571 Qc7
590 Rd572 Qc7
591 Rd573 Qc7
592 Rd574 Qc7
593 Rd575 Qc7
594 Rd576 Qc7
595 Rd577 Qc7
596 Rd578 Qc7
597 Rd579 Qc7
598 Rd580 Qc7
599 Rd581 Qc7
600 Rd582 Qc7
601 Rd583 Qc7
602 Rd584 Qc7
603 Rd585 Qc7
604 Rd586 Qc7
605 Rd587 Qc7
606 Rd588 Qc7
607 Rd589 Qc7
608 Rd590 Qc7
609 Rd591 Qc7
610 Rd592 Qc7
611 Rd593 Qc7
612 Rd594 Qc7
613 Rd595 Qc7
614 Rd596 Qc7
615 Rd597 Qc7
616 Rd598 Qc7
617 Rd599 Qc7
618 Rd600 Qc7
619 Rd601 Qc7
620 Rd602 Qc7
621 Rd603 Qc7
622 Rd604 Qc7
623 Rd605 Qc7
624 Rd606 Qc7
625 Rd607 Qc7
626 Rd608 Qc7
627 Rd609 Qc7
628 Rd610 Qc7
629 Rd611 Qc7
630 Rd612 Qc7
631 Rd613 Qc7
632 Rd614 Qc7
633 Rd615 Qc7
634 Rd616 Qc7
635 Rd617 Qc7
636 Rd618 Qc7
637 Rd619 Qc7
638 Rd620 Qc7
639 Rd621 Qc7
640 Rd622 Qc7
641 Rd623 Qc7
642 Rd624 Qc7
643 Rd625 Qc7
644 Rd626 Qc7
645 Rd627 Qc7
646 Rd628 Qc7
647 Rd629 Qc7
648 Rd630 Qc7
649 Rd631 Qc7
650 Rd632 Qc7
651 Rd633 Qc7
652 Rd634 Qc7
653 Rd635 Qc7
654 Rd636 Qc7
655 Rd637 Qc7
656 Rd638 Qc7
657 Rd639 Qc7
658 Rd640 Qc7
659 Rd641 Qc7
660 Rd642 Qc7
661 Rd643 Qc7
662 Rd644 Qc7
663 Rd645 Qc7
664 Rd646 Qc7
665 Rd647 Qc7
666 Rd648 Qc7
667 Rd649 Qc7
668 Rd650 Qc7
669 Rd651 Qc7
670 Rd652 Qc7
671 Rd653 Qc7
672 Rd654 Qc7
673 Rd655 Qc7
674 Rd656 Qc7
675 Rd657 Qc7
676 Rd658 Qc7
677 Rd659 Qc7
678 Rd660 Qc7
679 Rd661 Qc7
680 Rd662 Qc7
681 Rd663 Qc7
682 Rd664 Qc7
683 Rd665 Qc7
684 Rd666 Qc7
685 Rd667 Qc7
686 Rd668 Qc7
687 Rd669 Qc7
688 Rd670 Qc7
689 Rd671 Qc7
690 Rd672 Qc7
691 Rd673 Qc7
692 Rd674 Qc7
693 Rd675 Qc7
694 Rd676 Qc7
695 Rd677 Qc7
696 Rd678 Qc7
697 Rd679 Qc7
698 Rd680 Qc7
699 Rd681 Qc7
700 Rd682 Qc7
701 Rd683 Qc7
702 Rd684 Qc7
703 Rd685 Qc7
704 Rd686 Qc7
705 Rd687 Qc7
706 Rd688 Qc7
707 Rd689 Qc7
708 Rd690 Qc7
709 Rd691 Qc7
710 Rd692 Qc7
711 Rd693 Qc7
712 Rd694 Qc7
713 Rd695 Qc7
714 Rd696 Qc7
715 Rd697 Qc7
716 Rd698 Qc7
717 Rd699 Qc7
718 Rd700 Qc7
719 Rd701 Qc7
720 Rd702 Qc7
721 Rd703 Qc7
722 Rd704 Qc7
723 Rd705 Qc7
724 Rd706 Qc7
725 Rd707 Qc7
726 Rd708 Qc7
727 Rd709 Qc7
728 Rd710 Qc7
729 Rd711 Qc7
730 Rd712 Qc7
731 Rd713 Qc7
732 Rd714 Qc7
733 Rd715 Qc7
734 Rd716 Qc7
735 Rd717 Qc7
736 Rd718 Qc7
737 Rd719 Qc7
738 Rd720 Qc7
739 Rd721 Qc7
740 Rd722 Qc7
741 Rd723 Qc7
742 Rd724 Qc7
743 Rd725 Qc7
744 Rd726 Qc7
745 Rd727 Qc7
746 Rd728 Qc7
747 Rd729 Qc7
748 Rd730 Qc7
749 Rd731 Qc7
750 Rd732 Qc7
751 Rd733 Qc7
752 Rd734 Qc7
753 Rd735 Qc7
754 Rd736 Qc7
755 Rd737 Qc7
756 Rd738 Qc7
757 Rd739 Qc7
758 Rd740 Qc7
759 Rd741 Qc7
760 Rd742 Qc7
761 Rd743 Qc7
762 Rd744 Qc7
763 Rd745 Qc7
764 Rd746 Qc7
765 Rd747 Qc7
766 Rd748 Qc7
767 Rd749 Qc7
768 Rd750 Qc7
769 Rd751 Qc7
770 Rd752 Qc7
771 Rd753 Qc7
772 Rd754 Qc7
773 Rd755 Qc7
774 Rd756 Qc7
775 Rd757 Qc7
776 Rd758 Qc7
777 Rd759 Qc7
778 Rd760 Qc7
779 Rd761 Qc7
780 Rd762 Qc7
781 Rd763 Qc7
782 Rd764 Qc7
783 Rd765 Qc7
784 Rd766 Qc7
785 Rd767 Qc7
786 Rd768 Qc7
787 Rd769 Qc7
788 Rd770 Qc7
789 Rd771 Qc7
790 Rd772 Qc7
791 Rd773 Qc7
792 Rd774 Qc7
793 Rd775 Qc7
794 Rd776 Qc7
795 Rd777 Qc7
796 Rd778 Qc7
797 Rd779 Qc7
798 Rd780 Qc7
799 Rd781 Qc7
800 Rd782 Qc7
801 Rd783 Qc7
802 Rd784 Qc7
803 Rd785 Qc7
804 Rd786 Qc7
805 Rd787 Qc7
806 Rd788 Qc7
807 Rd789 Qc7
808 Rd790 Qc7
809 Rd791 Qc7
810 Rd792 Qc7
811 Rd793 Qc7
812 Rd794 Qc7
813 Rd795 Qc7
814 Rd796 Qc7
815 Rd797 Qc7
816 Rd798 Qc7
817 Rd799 Qc7
818 Rd800 Qc7
819 Rd801 Qc7
820 Rd802 Qc7
821 Rd803 Qc7
822 Rd804 Qc7
823 Rd805 Qc7
824 Rd806 Qc7
825 Rd807 Qc7
826 Rd808 Qc7
827 Rd809 Qc7
828 Rd810 Qc7
829 Rd811 Qc7
830 Rd812 Qc7
831 Rd813 Qc7
832 Rd814 Qc7
833 Rd815 Qc7
834 Rd816 Qc7
835 Rd817 Qc7
836 Rd818 Qc7
837 Rd819 Qc7
838 Rd820 Qc7
839 Rd821 Qc7
840 Rd822 Qc7
841 Rd823 Qc7
842 Rd824 Qc7
843 Rd825 Qc7
844 Rd826 Qc7
845 Rd827 Qc7
846 Rd828 Qc7
847 Rd829 Qc7
848 Rd830 Qc7
849 Rd831 Qc7
850 Rd832 Qc7
851 Rd833 Qc7
852 Rd834 Qc7
853 Rd835 Qc7
854 Rd836 Qc7
855 Rd837 Qc7
856 Rd838 Qc7
857 Rd839 Qc7
858 Rd840 Qc7
859 Rd841 Qc7
860 Rd842 Qc7
861 Rd843 Qc7
862 Rd844 Qc7
863 Rd845 Qc7
864 Rd846 Qc7
865 Rd847 Qc7
866 Rd848 Qc7
867 Rd849 Qc7
868 Rd850 Qc7
869 Rd851 Qc7
870 Rd852 Qc7
871 Rd853 Qc7
872 Rd854 Qc7
873 Rd855 Qc7
874 Rd856 Qc7
875 Rd857 Qc7
876 Rd858 Qc7
877 Rd859 Qc7
878 Rd860 Qc7
879 Rd861 Qc7
880 Rd862 Qc7
881 Rd863 Qc7
882 Rd864 Qc7
883 Rd865 Qc7
884 Rd866 Qc7
885 Rd867 Qc7
886 Rd868 Qc7
887 Rd869 Qc7
888 Rd870 Qc7
889 Rd871 Qc7
890 Rd872 Qc7
891 Rd873 Qc7
892 Rd874 Qc7
893 Rd875 Qc7
894 Rd876 Qc7
895 Rd877 Qc7
896 Rd878 Qc7
897 Rd879 Qc7
898 Rd880 Qc7
899 Rd881 Qc7
900 Rd882 Qc7
901 Rd883 Qc7
902 Rd884 Qc7
903 Rd885 Qc7
904 Rd886 Qc7
905 Rd887 Qc7
906 Rd888 Qc7
907 Rd889 Qc7
908 Rd890 Qc7
909 Rd891 Qc7
910 Rd892 Qc7
911 Rd893 Qc7
912 Rd894 Qc7
913 Rd895 Qc7
914 Rd896 Qc7
915 Rd897 Qc7
916 Rd898 Qc7
917 Rd899 Qc7
918 Rd900 Qc7
919 Rd901 Qc7
920 Rd902 Qc7
921 Rd903 Qc7
922 Rd904 Qc7
923 Rd905 Qc7
924 Rd906 Qc7
925 Rd907 Qc7
926 Rd908 Qc7
927 Rd909 Qc7
928 Rd910 Qc7
929 Rd911 Qc7
930 Rd912 Qc7
931 Rd913 Qc7
932 Rd914 Qc7
933 Rd915 Qc7
934 Rd916 Qc7
935 Rd917 Qc7
936 Rd918 Qc7
937 Rd919 Qc7
938 Rd920 Qc7
939 Rd921 Qc7
940 Rd922 Qc7
941 Rd923 Qc7
942 Rd924 Qc7
943 Rd925 Qc7
944 Rd926 Qc7
945 Rd927 Qc7
946 Rd928 Qc7
947 Rd929 Qc7
948 Rd930 Qc7
949 Rd931

There are now more German marques to the pound.



VORSPRUNG DURCH TECHNIK.

The new 1.6 litre Audi 80 produces 100 bhp. It also comes complete with a 10 year anti-corrosion warranty, car alarm, 3 way immobilizer, ABS and the Procon-Ten safety system. And the exchange rate for this car? A mere £13,456. For a free brochure, call our freephone number.

Audi Dealers 0800 99 88 77.

THE AUDI 80 FROM £13,456. PRICE CORRECT AT TIME OF GOING TO PRESS AND INCLUDES 1 YEAR MECHANICAL WARRANTY, 3 YEAR PAINT WARRANTY, 10 YEAR ANTI-CORROSION WARRANTY AND FREE ON-CALL EMERGENCY SERVICE. EXCLUDES DELIVERY AND NUMBER PLATE CHARGE OF £395. REF:VGM

HONDA

Conference audience forget their discord when ministers play populist tunes

When in trouble, play the old populist tunes. Michael Howard roused the conference yesterday with his law and order package, as Peter Lilley did later with measures to clamp down on benefits to foreigners and social security fraud. They may play well in the country too.

With only a couple of exceptions, it has been the Conservative right's conference so far, at least in rhetoric. Not only has there been the spectre of Baroness Thatcher, who

HIDDELL ON POLITICS

turned up in person last night, but most ministerial speeches have lacked to the right. They have sought to reinforce the audience's prejudices rather than to challenge them, just as most speeches at the Labour conference did last week.

Apart from Labour bashing and a new emphasis on "the two faces" of Paddy Ashdown, there have been attacks on student unions, scrumpers,

the BBC, liberal academics and opinion formers, bail bondsmen and layabouts: all of whom send shivers up the spines of the mainly middle-aged representatives.

The Euro-sceptics have lost the battle of Maastricht but they are a significant check on the government's approach to the European Community.

They are celebrating the prime minister's alleged shift (more qualified in practice) in his article for *The Economist* two weeks ago. Apart from Douglas Hurd and a fringe speech

by David Hunt, the emphasis has been largely negative, anti-British and anti-European union. Mr Lilley, who won one of the few semi-spontaneous ovations of the week, wrapped himself in the Union Jack, revelling in foreigner bashing, and rejoicing in Britain's departure from the ERM.

The populist tone is an indication as much of weakness as of strength, of the party's need for reassurance at a time when many of the rank-and-file feel lost and direction-

less. There was something meretricious and glib about many ministerial replies. It may be right, as well as popular, to crack down on social security fraud and abuses, but these measures are marginal to the real problems of limiting the growth of that department's budget.

At least Mr Howard made a large number of specific and important anti-crime proposals, the core of this winter's criminal justice bill, in response to the genuine concerns of the public and the police.

But there was also more than a hint of wanting to appear tough to satisfy party appetites, as previous Tory home secretaries, in particular Sir Leon Brittan in 1983-4 and Kenneth Baker in 1991, have done in their conference speeches since 1979. That raises expectations about the scope for reducing levels of crime which are bound to be disappointed.

This week has been marked by a nervous unity in the conference hall, mandatory laudatory references to Mr

Major in every ministerial speech and extravagant ovations every time he appears on the platform.

The Tory tribe is sticking together, but without much conviction or enthusiasm. There is an instinctive desire to rally round and to deplore the "malcontents" and critics. No one wants another leadership upheaval now. But that is only because any alternative is worse.

Some ministers draw comfort from this unity in adversity.

But even the most loyalist ones accept that the current support is conditional and not indefinite. By this time next year, Mr Major has to show some evidence of political and electoral recovery, or else he will be in real trouble.

Yet the more strident the playing of the crowd-pleasing populist tunes is, the more unreal it all seems, another symptom of a party in office for too long struggling to renew itself.

PETER RIDDELL

Tory activists demand a tough line on crime

BY SHEILA GUNN AND ALICE THOMSON

MICHAEL Howard, the home secretary, promised angry Tory activists virtually all the law and order measures they demanded yesterday by unveiling a draconian new anti-crime strategy.

In a fiercely right-wing and populist speech, he announced a fundamental reduction in the rights of defendants, including an end to the right to silence, new powers of arrest for the police and better protection for victims.

Two of the most popular measures with the conference was a promise that more young offenders would be prosecuted from next month instead of being cautioned, and far fewer offenders would be allowed out on bail.

In the keynote law and order debate, speaker after speaker received thunderous applause from the floor as they demanded an end to the "liberal" regime on crime.

The representatives sprung to their feet when Andrew Rosindell, the Young Conservatives chairman, called for a return of the birch for violent young thugs and the death penalty for murder.

Demands for tougher penalties by Judy, a victim of a serious sexual assault, and the former deputy party chairman Lord Archer, were also met by standing ovations.

Mr Howard replied: "The silent majority have become the angry majority. And you have shown that only the Conservative party can give that majority that voice."

Although he did not refer to capital or corporal punishment, he announced what he described as "the most comprehensive programme of action against crime that has ever been announced by any home secretary". The new measures include:

□ Scrapping bail for those accused of serious violent offences who have previous convictions.

□ Right of appeal against lenient sentences for child cruelty and indecent assault.

□ Abolition of a defendant's right to silence.

□ Tougher stop and search powers for the police against suspected terrorists.

□ New police powers to stop trespassers, such as hunt saboteurs.

□ 20 pilot schemes for voluntary "parish constables" to patrol villages.

□ Drug testing in prisons.

□ Doubling the maximum penalty to two years in young offender institutions.

□ Tougher work for those serving community sentences.

The criminal justice bill in the next Queen's Speech will

bring in many of the measures. However, more complex changes, such as a Criminal Cases Review Authority to investigate miscarriages of justice, will take longer.

Lord Archer not only warmed up the audience for Mr Howard but threatened to steal all the applause with his condemnation of Britain's criminal society. "Retired people who have completed their working lives as model citizens have the right to spend

the rest of their days living in dignity and not living in fear," he said.

The audience cheered him on as he proceeded to attack bail offenders, young criminals, violent films, the "trendy Guardian and Observer arts pages", the Liberal Democrats and Labour. Having ridiculed the Opposition's record on law and order, he concluded: "Michael the time has come for you to stand and deliver."

Mr Archer's speech was one of the milder ones. In a motion commending the government's resolve in combating crime, speakers vented their anger on the "totally destructive liberal policies of the last 30 years".

In the most radical speech of the debate, Mr Rosindell said he was speaking for all decent, law-abiding young people in Britain.

"It's time to birch violent thugs," he said. "It's time to put persistent offenders out of circulation for good, to ensure that rapists once caught can never rape again. And it's time to bring back hanging for premeditated murder."

He said: "We've tried it the liberal way for the last 30 years and it's failed, totally, utterly and

tragically. It's time to do it our way."

Judy, from south Edinburgh, who first recounted her sexual assault to the Scottish Tory conference, described how, six months after the attack, she was dealt a second assault when the attacker's sentence was reduced on appeal. She is helping the Home and Scottish offices to draw up guidance for other victims. Judges should intervene to stop intimidatory cross-examination, she said, and victims should be able to give evidence via a video-link.

"I hope in a small way I have helped to dispel the view often expressed that victims of sexual crimes have somehow asked for it. Put the victim before the criminal," she added.

Philip Hammond, Lewisham East, said the motion "does not go far enough". He said: "Nobody wants to be trapped by fear inside their home even if they have got six colour televisions. Society belongs to the overwhelming majority of decent folk. The fightback starts now."

Right to silence, page 4

Leading article, page 17

Conservatives in Blackpool

Conservatives in Blackpool

Conservatives in Blackpool

Conservatives in Blackpool

Conservatives in Blackpool

Conservatives in Blackpool

Conservatives in Blackpool

Conservatives in Blackpool

Conservatives in Blackpool

Conservatives in Blackpool

Conservatives in Blackpool

Conservatives in Blackpool

Conservatives in Blackpool

Conservatives in Blackpool

Conservatives in Blackpool

Conservatives in Blackpool

Conservatives in Blackpool

Conservatives in Blackpool

Conservatives in Blackpool

Conservatives in Blackpool

Conservatives in Blackpool

Conservatives in Blackpool

Conservatives in Blackpool

Conservatives in Blackpool

Conservatives in Blackpool

Conservatives in Blackpool

Conservatives in Blackpool

Conservatives in Blackpool

Conservatives in Blackpool

Conservatives in Blackpool

Conservatives in Blackpool

Conservatives in Blackpool

Conservatives in Blackpool

Conservatives in Blackpool

Conservatives in Blackpool

Conservatives in Blackpool

Conservatives in Blackpool

Conservatives in Blackpool

Conservatives in Blackpool

Conservatives in Blackpool



Delegates show their pleasure at the leadership's focus on family values and law and order, at the conference yesterday

Lone mothers to lose priority

BY JILL SHERMAN
POLITICAL CORRESPONDENT

SINGLE mothers are to lose their automatic right to council housing under a reform of the law to be announced today.

In the first shot in what threatens to be a wider crack-down on welfare payments to one-parent families, Sir George Young, the housing minister, will condemn existing legislation which allows teenage mothers to jump housing queues. He will tell the Tory conference that the government intends to reform laws on homelessness which currently give priority to lone parents.

Sir George's move will follow Michael Howard's condemnation of perverse incentives encouraging family break-up and Peter Lilley's announcement yesterday of a new blitz on benefit abuse.

In a speech underlining his Euro-sceptic credentials, Mr Lilley, the social security secretary, made it clear that his

latest target was "benefit tourists" — Europeans who claimed benefit as soon as they arrived in Britain.

Under new regulations being drawn up by Mr Lilley, foreigners will have to prove they have been resident for several months before they can claim income support or housing benefit. He estimates that housing benefit abuse alone costs the government £50 million a year.

Mr Lilley also raised the issue of lone-parent families, but said they should be supported, rather than blamed. Family values and the idea of a two-parent family should be upheld and encouraged, he said.

Although spending on lone parents was rising, Mr Lilley insisted his main aim was to avoid family breakdown rather than save money.

Sir George will not give many details of the government's plans but he is understood to favour giving local authorities the flexibility to set their own priorities. Other ministers want councils to establish supervised hostels for young single mothers.

The housing minister is expected to highlight what he regards as the injustice of housing young unmarried mothers ahead of young couples who are prepared to wait for a council house before starting a family.

He will also argue that the existing laws are a perverse encouragement for parents to throw their teenage children on to the streets in the knowledge that they will then qualify for a flat. The changes are expected mainly to affect people under 21.

Mr Lilley won loud applause for his determination to crack down on benefit scroungers, but his standing ovation had more to do with his stand on Europe. He confirmed that he was one of the "bastards" John Major had referred to in a leaked taped interview.

Gummer promises roads curb

BY NICHOLAS WOOD
CHIEF POLITICAL CORRESPONDENT

NEW curbs on city centre redevelopments and motorways were announced yesterday by John Gummer, the environment secretary, in a move risking a clash with John MacGregor, the transport secretary.

Mr Gummer, who has emerged as a champion of urban and rural conservation,

acted only 24 hours after Mr MacGregor boasted that the government was spending record amounts on roads, was pressing ahead with motorway tolls to raise more money for better routes and trumpeted the economic case for easing traffic jams.

The environment secretary told the conference that the despoiling of city centres must be checked. "The centres of our great cities are not the inheritance of only of those who live there — they are part of all our history. When Birmingham destroyed its centre and built its Bullring, it knelt before the mastery of the motor car. It overshadowed its medieval heritage with concrete. There was neither sense of scale nor standard of quality."

Mr Gummer said that in future there would be a much wider debate about the future of city centres and that he was revising the criteria for calling in development proposals.

"I want to ensure that we all have the chance to demand the quality and scale, the standards and the design which our urban centres demand."

ENVIRONMENT

ENVIRONMENT

ENVIRONMENT

ENVIRONMENT

ENVIRONMENT

ENVIRONMENT

ENVIRONMENT

ENVIRONMENT

ENVIRONMENT

ENVIRONMENT

ENVIRONMENT

ENVIRONMENT

ENVIRONMENT

ENVIRONMENT

ENVIRONMENT

ENVIRONMENT

ENVIRONMENT

ENVIRONMENT

Treasury faces battle over budget cuts

BY NICHOLAS WOOD
AND JILL SHERMAN

MINISTERS are battling with the Treasury to head off deep cuts in existing programmes. It was disclosed yesterday as details of the toughest spending round for a decade began to filter out at the Tory conference in Blackpool.

"No change on next year's control totals will be a good result," one senior minister said in a remark illustrating how traditional expectations of annual increases have evaporated under the pressure of curbing the £50 billion budget deficit.

Ministers said that defence, transport, housing, inner cities and the overseas aid budget were bearing the brunt of the surgery being masterminded by Kenneth Clarke, the Chancellor, and Michael Portillo, the Treasury chief

secretary. Capital projects, such as new road and rail links, which were protected in last year's round, will not escape so lightly this year.

John MacGregor, the transport secretary, is so worried about the threat to the £20 billion roads programme that he is trying to bring forward controversial plans for motorway tolls. Transport officials say this could be implemented within two years, saving £700 million a year. The measure would appeal to the Treasury because the private sector would be expected to design, build and manage the toll system.

The Treasury's problems have been magnified by the inexorable rise in the £80 billion social security budget under the impact of demographic and social changes, in particular family breakdown. The bill is rising at 3 per cent a year in real terms and offers little scope for short-term cuts beyond a fresh assault on fraud and a squeeze on invalidity benefit.

Ministers said yesterday that cherished programmes were being hit by the dust in the haggling with Mr Portillo. The cabinet's spending committee, EOX, chaired by Mr Clarke, met earlier this week and is due to reconvene next week after the party conference. Few ministers appear to have settled their bids.

One of the biggest battles is raging over Malcolm Rifkind's £23 billion defence budget. After Mr Clarke hinted at cuts of up to 20 per cent at the weekend, the defence secretary broke with convention by taking his campaign to the conference floor, where he warned delegates that the forces must not become a "paper tiger".

But the £30 billion health budget is protected by a manifesto commitment to increase spending in real terms. With law and order and education at the top of the government's agenda, Michael Howard and John Patten have some defence against Treasury raids. The six new prisons announced by the home secretary yesterday will be built and run by the private sector. However, Mr Howard needs up to £100 million for the proposed new detention centres for persistent juvenile offenders.

Today's agenda

Mnning debates: Housing and inner cities; employment; the economy. Afternoon debates: health; trade and industry; national heritage, the media and the arts.

Portillo: haggling over the spending curbs

Portillo: haggling over the spending curbs

Portillo: haggling over the spending curbs

Portillo: haggling over the spending curbs

Portillo: haggling over the spending curbs

Portillo: haggling over the spending curbs

Portillo: haggling over the spending curbs

Portillo: haggling over the spending curbs

Portillo: haggling over the spending curbs

Portillo: haggling over the spending curbs

Fowler to save party agents

BY ANDREW PIERCE

SIR Norman Fowler will unveil a financial package tomorrow, designed to halt the wave of sackings of party agents. More than 50 agents — paid officers who run constituency associations — have gone since the election because of the party's deteriorating financial position.

The party's treasurers, on the orders of the party chairman, have devised a scheme which will enable Central Office to give financial support to associations which can no longer afford to pay their agents' salaries.

The new policy was ordered by Sir Norman after he was presented with a report by the party treasurer's department which showed that 70 per cent

of the annual quota paid to Conservative Central Office in the last financial year came from associations which employed an agent.

Sir Norman is preparing to commit immediately up to £250,000 to try to prevent any more agents being laid off. Some marginal constituencies have already received one-off payments. A member of the treasurer's department said: "Agents perform a vital role in fundraising. But because associations have cash flow problems they are being forced to lay them off. It is counter-productive."

With financial support from Central Office agents should be able to stay, other associations will be able to employ them, and they will be able to lay the groundwork for a financial recovery."

FINANCES

FINANCES

FINANCES

FINANCES

FINANCES

FINANCES

FINANCES

FINANCES

FINANCES

MAGAZINE

THE END

WILLIAM CASH ON THE DEATH OF CELEBRITY

BABYLON'S BURNING

"During my time in Hollywood I have met dozens of major stars. Many are about as interesting as a glass of flat soda. Others are crass, or are crude monsters; most are quite ordinary."

William Cash on the end of celebrity

MEN'S FASHION

44 hunky pages

Edited by Iain R. Webb

Plus: Jessica Mitford's diary, Jan Morris, Frances Bissell, Jane MacQuitty, Kate Muir, John Diamond, Giles Gordon.

This Saturday in The Times Magazine

سكنا من الامم

'Midnight is nearly here for the British-Chinese talks and there has been no breakthrough'

Patten exhorts Hong Kong to defend its values

FROM JONATHAN MIRSKY
EAST ASIA EDITOR
IN HONG KONG

BARRING a miracle — a Chinese climbdown — the British-Chinese negotiations over the future of democracy in Hong Kong will end this month and Chris Patten, the governor, will lead a unilateral struggle for constitutional changes Peking has already vowed to destroy.

In his address to the Legislative Council (LegCo) yesterday, Mr Patten said: "We now have only weeks rather than months to conclude these talks. There will then be a great deal of work to do to ensure that orderly elections can be held on time." A year ago he made his first speech in the chamber and laid out proposals which China described as deceitful violations of previous agreements.

It is understood that the negotiations are nearly at an end. Put simply, China wants to exclude from LegCo those of whom it says: "We don't like their judgments... the cut of their jib... their tailor." It is believed that the power struggle for the post-Deng Xiaoping leadership makes it impossible for Chinese negotiators to yield, for fear of looking weak.

British officials had hoped until late summer that there might be a negotiating breakthrough, but this hope has vanished. It is almost midnight, the governor said, and soon "we will have to get up in the morning and get on with things ourselves".

Most of the speech, however, showed Mr Patten as a passionate Victorian reformer. "Social change," he warned, "has the tiresome habit of creeping up on communities — and their governments — and taking them by surprise." In passages filled with references to the poor, the suffering, the aged and the handicapped, he issued concrete policy suggestions ranging from air-conditioners for hot school rooms, training in Mandarin for those who will have to speak to the new rulers after 1997, special diets for the chronically ill, and exactly 461 new beds and day places for the elderly.

Mr Patten ranged wider still, hoping — in one of the colony's most poignant issues — for the granting of British nationality to the tiny band of wives and widows of British



Demonstrators and journalists mill around Chris Patten, the governor of Hong Kong, as he arrived yesterday at the Legislative Council for his yearly policy speech

ex-servicemen, many of whom were killed fighting the Japanese.

"We are not prepared to give away our principles in order to sign a piece of paper," he said. "We believe that the people of Hong Kong deserve a credible legislature, fairly and openly elected. Anything less than that would surely undermine the rule of law, and that rule of law is essential to the maintenance of Hong Kong's prosperity and freedom."

Mr Patten attacked China for blocking key economic projects in Hong Kong like the new \$15 billion airport and a container terminal. But what the packed chamber was waiting for were Mr Patten's disclosures of the state of the negotiations, known from leaks from both sides to be moribund, and some inkling of what happens next.

The British have made serious offers, the governor conceded, especially in reducing by two thirds the number of potential voters in the 1995 LegCo elections. Mr Patten refused to say whether the Chinese had made any concessions, but later said: "I very much hope that at some stage we will hear equal indications of sincerity and flexibility from the Chinese side."

It was impossible, Mr Patten noted, "to go on talking forever in a sort of Micawberish way". He made

it clear that when he sees John Major and the cabinet on November 10 he will be making proposals and expecting approval for the next stage, in which Britain and the Hong Kong government will be acting on their own, bringing legislation to LegCo for the 1995 elections.

Mr Patten managed to be both modest and Olympian. His own proposals, which the Chinese so hate, are "in democratic terms, slim to the point of emaciation". At the same time he spoke of the Hong Kong way of life as "representing a set of values... which increasingly represent the aspirations of the majority of humanity". The governor ended his address by assuring LegCo that "I am growing to love Hong Kong... a blazing beacon... a dazzling example" which the community as a whole must defend.

It would be wrong, the British side is said to feel, to give in to China simply because of its threats. There is a feeling that the British diplomats who contrived the 1984 Joint Declaration with China left the issue of the 1995 elections so vague that Peking would have dominated Hong Kong long before 1997. That kind of surrender, it is felt, would have been a fatal step at the moral crossroads.

Leading article, page 17

City folk discover decadent delights

By STEPHEN TAYLOR

CHINA'S cultural barriers continue to tumble. The country's first international film festival starts tomorrow, and the sinful pleasures of jazz and chocolate are poised to penetrate the country.

The Shanghai film festival, to be judged by Sophia Loren and Oliver Stone, the American director, is to feature 164 movies from 33 countries. Although China has a strong domestic film industry — *Farewell to My Concubine* by the director Chen Kaige won the Golden Palm at this year's Cannes festival — foreign films have always been rigorously scrutinised for unsuitable content.

Jazz was regarded as possibly even more decadent than Hollywood under hardline communism. Next week, however, Peking will be hosting China's first jazz festival.

Liu Yuan, a saxophonist and the country's best known jazz musician, says there are no more than a dozen groups in Peking at present, but jazz is enjoying a new vogue among an increasingly affluent and culturally exposed youth.

Many of China's cultural fads are stimulated by commercial concerns attracted by the enormous potential of a largely untapped market. Cadbury-Schweppes, the British confectionery giant, hopes to spread chocolate-eating in China and is building a factory in Peking.

At present the Chinese are estimated to eat less than half an ounce of chocolate each a year, compared with per-capita consumption of more than 3 lb a year in Hong Kong and 11 lb in America. Kevin Hayes, regional chairman of the firm, said: "If consumption here reached only the level in Hong Kong, that would mean a market of 15 million tonnes a year."



populist tunes

Schools to have secret ballots on opt-out

priority

get cuts

Dixons

NEW FROM PSION

PERSONAL ORGANISERS FROM UNDER £150

PSION SERIES 3 128K RAM POCKET-SIZED COMPUTER
Incorporates fully featured word processor. Intelligent desktop-style diary. World information, time and dialling code.
Dixons Deal **£149.99** inc. VAT **£127.65** ex. VAT

PSION SERIES 3 256K RAM POCKET-SIZED COMPUTER
Intelligent desktop style diary. World information, time and dialling code. Fully featured word processor.
Dixons Deal **£199.99** inc. VAT **£170.20** ex. VAT

PSION SERIES 3A 256K RAM POCKET-SIZED COMPUTER
Records names, addresses and phone numbers. Maintains schedule with 'to-do' list, agenda and year planner. Word processing facility.
Dixons Deal **£269.99** inc. VAT **£229.78** ex. VAT

NEW

INTEGRATED SPREADSHEET
Ideal for use on the move.

VOICE RECORDING
Records sounds or music.

SERIES 3A 512K RAM POCKET-SIZED COMPUTER

- Keeps record of all names/addresses/phone numbers
- Maintains your busy schedule with 'to-do' list, agenda and year planner
- Built-in word processing facility
- Voice recording facility
- World clock and full calculator.

Dixons Deal **£329.99** inc. VAT **£280.84** ex. VAT

LOWEST PRICES GUARANTEED

Dixons SALE NOW ON

AROUND 360 BRANCHES NATIONWIDE. TEL: 08-200 0200 FOR YOUR NEAREST BRANCH

Write-in questions from Dixons Stores Group Ltd, Dept. MK, 54-60 High Street, Edgware, Middlesex HA8 7ED.

Dixons are licensed credit brokers.

Other terms apply in S. Yorkshire, Nottinghamshire, Lincolnshire and Isle of Wight. Ask in-store for details.

COMPLETE RANGE OF PSION SOFTWARE & PERIPHERALS AVAILABLE
Ask in-store for details.

IN FOR A PENNE

OUT FOR £1!

BELLA PASTA

Treat yourself to a meal at Bella Pasta and you'll discover a mouthwatering choice of classic Italian food.

INNER LONDON

- Baker Street W1
- Henrietta Street WC2
- Crabtree Lane WC2
- Dean Street W1
- Duke Street W1
- Earls Court Road SW5
- Islington N1
- Irving Street WC2
- Leicester Square WC2
- Old Brompton Rd SW7
- Queensway W2
- St. Martin's Lane WC2
- Victoria Street SW1

OUTER LONDON

- Dulwich Village
- Ealing Broadway
- Kingston Market Place
- Romford South Street
- St. Albans Chequer Street

REGIONAL

- Bath, Milcom Street
- Birmingham, New Street
- Brighton, Market Street
- Bristol, Whiteladies Road
- Cardiff, High Street
- Cheltenham, The Promenade
- Exeter, Queen's Street
- Manchester, Deansgate
- Nottingham, Angel Row
- Oxford, George Street

STARTER FOR £1

Buy any starter and, at the same time, get another starter of the same value or less for £1.

Offer valid at all Bella Pasta restaurants, every day except Saturday, until close 14.11.93.

Present this voucher when you ask for your bill.

Not redeemable for cash or with any other offer.

BELLA PASTA

MAIN COURSE FOR £1

Buy any of our large pizzas or mouthwatering pasta dishes and, at the same time, get another one of the same value or less for £1.

Offer valid at all Bella Pasta restaurants, every day except Saturday, until close 14.11.93.

Present this voucher when you ask for your bill.

Not redeemable for cash or with any other offer.

BELLA PASTA

DESSERT FOR £1

Buy any delicious dessert and, at the same time, get another dessert of the same value or less for £1.

Offer valid at all Bella Pasta restaurants, every day except Saturday, until close 14.11.93.

Present this voucher when you ask for your bill.

Not redeemable for cash or with any other offer.

BELLA PASTA

Elated Yeltsin settles old scores and muzzles critics



Yeltsin: the guard of honour at his Moscow tomb has been removed

FOUR blank spaces in *Nezavisimaya Gazeta* yesterday were a piquant demonstration of the contradictions and organisational chaos produced by the violent clash of President Yeltsin's clash with the legislature.

A government censor removed the articles from the liberal newspaper, which has started to turn away from the president's cause and whose editor has defended parliament but without being aligned to extremists in the opposition circles.

The withdrawn pieces were critical of the use of the army against parliament, undermined the risks of using mass force in a highly-populated industrial area and cast doubts on the possibility of free elections which Mr Yeltsin pledged yesterday would continue as proposed in December.

At *Komsomolskaya Pravda*, a pro-Yeltsin paper, one article on the prospects for an unimpeded parliamentary ballot — a sore point for the Yeltsin camp — was allowed only after amendment by the censor. After the suspended publication of the far-right *Den* and the far-left *Pravda*, there is evidence that Mr Yeltsin



The president's violent clash with the legislature has led to a mood of vengeance and reckoning in the capital
Anne McElvoy writes from Moscow

is in an autocratic mood, determined to stamp out the last flicker of parliament's resistance.

But in the aftermath of the assault on parliament there also seems to be a good deal of confusion in the Kremlin. Vyacheslav Kostikov, Mr Yeltsin's spokesman, said yesterday that the Russian leader had ordered the lifting of censorship and claimed that it had never been intended in the first place. So far, no one else has come forward to admit ordering the cutting of chunks of newspaper from perfectly acceptable newspapers. Whoever it was, he has the excuse that he was only following the authoritarian *Zelinskiy*.

Mr Yeltsin is in elated mood and is clearly having the time of his life firing all the irritating senior officials he had considered unreliable or incompetent.

The public prosecutor has been changed and the constitutional court chairman resigned before he, too, suffered the indignity of being publicly axed. Cabinet posts are being reshuffled, relations redefined and enemies cut down.

Even the founder of the Soviet state has not escaped retribution. The guard of honour in front of Lenin's tomb on Red Square has been removed in connection with what the Kremlin authorities described as "change of ritual". The failure to close the mausoleum and re-bury Lenin since the collapse of the Soviet Union was in part evidence of a reluctance by the authorities to part with their country's past — Mr Yeltsin was after all a member of the Communist party for 20 years — and he also seemed loathe to shatter the icon, fearing that it would cause a backlash from old commu-

nists. The mood is one of vengeance and reckoning and Mr Yeltsin is not noted for his magnanimity. The question is how he intends to return the country to circumstances in which he can hold elections to a democratic parliament. Yesterday he called on local soviets (councils) to dissolve themselves to allow the plan to proceed but their co-operation cannot be assumed.

The Russian leader's idea was to replace the cumbersome twin structure of Supreme Soviet and Congress of People's Deputies with a two-tier federal assembly, one chamber consisting of deputies elected by direct vote, the other of regional representatives. But he has not yet completed negotiations with the regions on the terms of their co-operation let alone the wider question of how much influence parliament will be granted over government. The initial draft of a revised constitution gives the president the right to dissolve the legislature if there is a constitutional impasse like the one this year. It also makes clear the superiority of the executive — points which perturb some powerful regional figures and many democrats, who fear that parlia-

ment could end up so subservient to the president's whim that it would have no independent role.

It is also uncertain which individual candidates will be allowed to stand. Having blasted the hardliners out of the White House with shells and bullets, Mr Yeltsin will not be keen to let them back into parliament.

Pressure from the West, loyal to Mr Yeltsin during Monday's crisis, will also be a factor. The international community will be anxious to balance its message to Mr Yeltsin by reminding him to be true to his declared democratic credentials.

So far the West has been ready to take Mr Yeltsin on trust, insisting that in the chaotic conditions in Russia now there is no point in quibbling over the suspension of some recent democratic gains and the reimposition of censorship. Nevertheless, Western leaders, who retain a residual suspicion of Mr Yeltsin's blustering manner, have made it clear they expect a relaxation in emergency rule and a freer atmosphere in the run-up to the elections in December.

Yeltsin panic, page 1

Moscow appeals to Nato leaders to relax treaty limit on heavy armour in Caucasus

Election in Russia to proceed on schedule

By MARTIN IVENS IN MOSCOW
AND MICHAEL EVANS, DEFENCE CORRESPONDENT

ELECTIONS for a new Russian parliament will be held on December 12, the date previously announced. President Yeltsin announced last night in an attempt to reassure Russians and the concerned West of his democratic credentials.

At the same time he relaxed the press censorship which he introduced on Tuesday. But he retained his ban on extreme nationalist and communist opposition parties.

In his first television address since last resistance in the White House was crushed, Mr Yeltsin urged his countrymen

dissolved, important decisions on the future of nuclear strategy and the defence of Russia's borders can be resolved.

In fact Mr Yeltsin has already appealed to Nato leaders to suspend part of a conventional arms treaty to allow him to place more tanks and artillery in the Caucasus to defend part of Russia's southern border. Concerned that the wars in Georgia and Azerbaijan might spill over into his country, Mr Yeltsin has complained that the weapons limits imposed by the Conventional Forces in Europe Treaty are unfair.

The treaty was signed in 1990 and came into force last year. Article five, which covers Russia's northern and southern flanks, allows the Russian military to station 1,300 tanks, 1,380 armoured combat vehicles and 1,680 artillery pieces as a global figure in these two regions. Faced with ethnic conflicts across the border in Georgia and Azerbaijan, Mr Yeltsin wants more flexibility.

At a meeting in Brussels yesterday, Nato ambassadors announced a willingness to work more closely with Russia on security issues. Nato sources said, however, that the CFE treaty was "the cornerstone of European security and should not be played around with".

Mr Yeltsin's appeal came in personal letters last month to President Clinton, John Major, President Mitterrand of France, Helmut Kohl, the German chancellor, and other Nato leaders. In subsequent discussions with Moscow since the appeal, however, Nato officials have been reassuring that Russia is not preparing to make a dramatic exit from the treaty.

In a separate development yesterday, Valeri Zorkin, the chairman of Russia's Constitutional Court and one of Mr Yeltsin's last remaining enemies in high office, bowed to the winds of change and resigned his post although he refused to step down from membership of the panel of judges.

Yeltsin panic, page 1
Too far, too fast, page 16



President Yeltsin at a security council meeting yesterday with, from left, Pavel Grachev, defence minister, Yegor Gaidar, deputy premier, and Victor Chernomyrdin, prime minister

Vice-president pleaded for help

FROM AGENCE FRANCE-PRESSE IN MOSCOW

ALEKSANDR Rutskoi, the imprisoned parliamentary leader, was so convinced that President Yeltsin's government had ordered all the rebels to be killed in Monday's military assault on the White House that he pleaded frantically with the head of the constitutional court to call foreign ambassadors to the battle zone.

Mr Rutskoi's appeal to Chief Justice Valeri Zorkin was made by telephone from inside the besieged building.

RUTSKOI

It was recorded by a Russian journalist and broadcast late on Tuesday by the Echo of Moscow radio station.

Mr Rutskoi, who was declared acting head of state by hardline deputies after Mr Yeltsin dissolved parliament on September 21, claimed in his appeal that Viktor Ersh, the interior minister, had "given the order not to take witnesses [alive] from the rebel side. 'We are living witnesses. They will not allow us to live. I beg you to call the ambassadors,'" he said.

He added: "They [the government] know that we have recordings, that we know everything that has happened since October 2, who

gave the orders, when, where they opened fire, how they killed people."

In the plea, recorded at about 2pm on Monday, Mr Rutskoi screamed at Mr Zorkin that a journalist from the Interfax agency sent out of the parliament building with a white flag as an intermediary had been shot by government troops. The journalist, Stanislav Terekhov, told *Izvestia* later, however, that he had in fact been prevented from leaving the building by its defenders, who had beaten him and forced him to remain lying on the floor for two hours.

After saying that the journalist had been shot, Mr Rutskoi paused. Then he said: "They are firing mortar rounds... The tanks are being positioned in attack formation. They are going to fire salvoes. I beg you to call the foreign ambassadors."

"Will the international community allow witnesses to be shot... The day will come when all this must be uncovered because these people are assassins."

Mr Rutskoi, who was stripped of the vice-presidency by Mr Yeltsin before the revolt, had called on protesters on Sunday to storm Moscow's city hall and to take control of the Ostankino television headquarters.

Where the big boys are cut down to size

FROM MARTIN IVENS IN MOSCOW

THE wise-cracking lawyer with the snappy, green suit could have come straight out of New York street theatre.

With exaggerated sincerity he demanded access to his clients — not next week, not tomorrow, but right now. He had voted for President Yeltsin too, he said, but what about the rights of "the little guy"?

The lawyer was Abdullah Khamzatov, the setting was the perimeter of Moscow's notorious Lefortovo prison, once the gateway to Stalin's Gulag. The "little guy" was the previously self-important figure of Ruslan Khasbulatov, the former Speaker of the Russian parliament, now being detained at Boris Yeltsin's pleasure.

Mr Khamzatov may be sincere when he says he voted for Mr Yeltsin. But not all of his clientele did. He also represents Gennadi Yanayev, the vodka-soaked Soviet vice-president nominated by the August coup plotters of 1991 to succeed Mikhail Gorbachev.

When Mr Khasbulatov's wife, Raisa, phoned him for help, Mr Khamzatov responded melodramatically, saying: "We're of the same blood". Both the former Speaker and his lawyer are Chechens, members of a war-like north Caucasian people who have declared independence from the Russian Federation. Muslim Chechens are unpopular here because of their clan-

LEFORTOVO PRISON

ness and their Sicilian-like association with gangsterism. Mr Khamzatov was refused access to his clients yesterday for the second day. The former Speaker is being kept in isolation. The Lefortovo chief of security told Mr Khamzatov that he would have to apply to the state lawyer investigating the case if he wanted a meeting. Mr Khamzatov replied that this would be as "difficult as finding a black cat in a darkened room".

He may be right. The procurator general, Valentin Stepankov, was sacked on



Khamzatov: defending rights of the little guy

Tuesday by President Yeltsin. "I think that Aleksai Kazannik, the new procurator general, will solve this problem," said the lawyer.

Charges have yet to be brought against the defenders of the White House. Their spiritual predecessors, the August 1991 coup plotters, were charged with betraying the motherland, for which the maximum sentence is the death penalty. Constitutional wrangling and the stupidity of Mr Stepankov, who wrote a book about the case even before the trial, undermined the prosecution, and the plotters have been freed on bail.

The October 1993 revolt was a far bloodier affair, however. Three died in August 1991; the death toll this week was more than a hundred. Aleksandr Rutskoi, the hardliner nominated by parliament to replace Mr Yeltsin, had offered no mercy to his enemies. Before he urged the army to mullin and his rabble to march on the Kremlin, he decreed that all those who resisted his government were liable to be shot.

Just as the *Pravda* newspaper appealed yesterday for support against Mr Yeltsin, so Mr Khamzatov intends to play to the democratic gallery. "What happens in the United States and Britain when a man is arrested?" he asked rhetorically. "Can problems be solved by violating the rights of the individual?"

NEWS IN BRIEF

Russian diplomat 'expelled'

Warsaw, Russia and Poland said yesterday that they had recalled their military attaches from Warsaw and Moscow, but sources in the Polish government said both men were expelled.

The Polish defence ministry said its attaché, Brigadier Roman Hormoz, was recalled from Moscow on Tuesday for consultations. The Russian embassy in Warsaw said its attaché, Colonel Vladimir Lomakin, had been summoned home last week.

The embassy gave no reason, but senior Polish sources said Warsaw had ordered the first expulsion of a Russian military attaché since the collapse of communist rule in Poland in 1989 and Moscow had responded. (Reuters)

Police orders

Tokyo: Japan, anticipating right-wing protests, will mobilise 10,000 police during a visit by President Yeltsin next week. Little progress is likely on a territorial dispute over the Kurile islands. (Reuters)

Latvia move

Moscow: The Latvian government has banned three organisations it described as pro-communist. Tass said. It also warned Russian troops based in Latvia not to support the banned groups. (Reuters)

Final count

Baku: Geidar Aliyev, the former communist strongman and acting Azerbaijan president, won an overwhelming victory in presidential elections, taking 98.8 per cent of the vote, according to final results. (AFP)

Writer held

Tbilisi: The authorities, fighting rebels in western Georgia, have detained a Russian writer and several opponents of Eduard Shevardnadze. (Reuters)

Rebels cut off

Tashkent: Russian troops fighting on Tajikistan's border with Afghanistan surrounded 300 to 400 Muslim guerrillas after a four-day battle. (Reuters)

Germans view Moscow victory with relief but no joy

FROM ROGER BOYES IN BONN

THE Germans have been the most relieved of all the Europeans by President Yeltsin's army-backed victory over parliament. With debt exposure of almost \$40 billion (£26 billion) and tens of thousands of Russian soldiers still on German soil, it has become a truism that when Russia sneezes Germany catches a cold.

Yet the survival of Mr Yeltsin has not unleashed the popular emotion that characterised the Gorbachev era of 1989-1990. Cartoons show Mr Yeltsin trying with mixed success to hold down a coffin lid on the spirits of the communist past as they fight their way out: others contrast Mr Yeltsin in 1991 — proudly striding a tank — and in 1993 shooting a tank cannon. In the popular imagination he is quickly making the transition

from democrat to benign dictator, and Germans do not like it.

The disillusionment has percolated to the business community. Although Bonn politicians are urging more financial aid to bolster Mr Yeltsin, Germany's business leaders are wondering aloud whether the political risk of investment in Russia does not outweigh the long-term benefits.

Even the long-standing champion of trade with Russia, Otto Wolff von Amerongen of the German Chamber of Commerce, is now advising Germans to put their investments on ice. Some business should continue, if only to keep open the bridges between Germany and Russia, he said yesterday, but Germans should suspend any big trade deals until a clear

position was taken by the Russian central bank, until new cartel laws were passed and until property laws were implemented.

German investment in the East was already beginning to flag because of political unrest. It had dropped to 14 million marks (£5.7 million) in 1992 and seems set to fall much lower this year. Karlsruhe, a German depopulated town, has just announced that it will not invest directly in GUM, the huge shopping complex off Red Square.

Edvard Reuter, the chief of Daimler-Benz, emphasised that his company will advance very cautiously in Russia.

Dr Wolfgang Karthe, former chief of the German cartel office and now a consultant on Russia, said that on a recent trip to Russia "I met only very

tired business contacts, completely worn out by the power struggle." He advised yesterday that Germany should switch from financial aid to know-how projects.

All these sceptics were among the most enthusiastic supporters of expanding aid and trade with Moscow two years ago. But the old premises of *Ostpolitik* — that credits and grants could contribute to political stability and gradual democratisation — have crumbled.

□ Tokyo: The world's top industrialised nations, the Group of Seven — Britain, Canada, France, Germany, Italy, Japan and the United States — yesterday called on Mr Yeltsin to hold elections for a new legislature and to continue his reform. Japanese prime minister Morihiro Hosokawa, G7 chairman, said G7 nations welcomed the return of stability in Moscow. (Reuters)



Yeltsin trying to keep communism in its coffin, as seen by a German cartoonist

مكتبة جامعة القاهرة

Janet Daley



A man's place is in the home, raising his sons with their mother. Without more father figures youth crime will soar

There is nothing abnormal about bad behaviour. There, I've said it. Let me go further: it is not surprising that young boys become anti-social when left to their own devices. Children such as the Rat Boy, whose nickname suggests that he is a freak, are only extreme cases of something that should have been predictable. Anyone who observes children will know that the natural inclination of most small boys is to be anarchic and amoral.

This tendency can be brought under control in the first instance by consistent discipline from adults, and in the second, by the boys' own maturity, which reaches them later than it does girls. Where girls, by the age of four or five, may be left to organise their own play, perfectly normal boys of eight or nine will run amok if not supervised. They do not have to be pathological cases to be delinquent and aggressive; just unrestrained and morally untutored.

(It is not my purpose here to examine this gender difference: the argument about whether it is innate or the result of conditioning must be left for another day. What is pertinent for our purposes (and those of Michael Howard, who is much exercised by this matter) is that, contrary to the doctrine of Rousseau which has misled us for 200 years, children—particularly boys—are not born innocent and later corrupted by a repressive society.

In other words, we should not be asking ourselves how we can cure the incorrigible hard core of boys who are responsible for much of the crime committed in this country. Although many of them may indeed have problems such as low intelligence, they need not be thought of as bizarre cases for treatment. They are, almost undoubtedly, simply extreme examples of the kind of hyperactive, difficult child who is well known in every school. So, if boys have always been thus, why do we only now have an unprecedented social problem with uncontrollable young criminals?

Partly because we have made a conscious decision not to control them. Private and public morality is so saturated in the assumption that crime is unnatural—that it only occurs when something has gone disastrously wrong—that we have lost the skills for dealing with bad behaviour before it escalates. We do not regard vicious impulses as a normal part of childhood, as parents, teachers and neighbours, to suppress until children learn to control them for themselves. Instead we are led to believe that we should be shocked and dismayed by them. Both as parents

and as members of society, we are made to feel guilty for their very existence: what have we done, individually and collectively, to produce this?

All that we have done is to dismantle the apparatus which was best geared to manage the very difficult task of training young males. We have encouraged the growth of one-parent families by offering almost irresistible incentives to young women to remain single when they become pregnant and to keep their illegitimate babies rather than having them adopted by two-parent families.

To offer statistical evidence that single parenting is more likely to produce delinquent offspring, as much research has done, ought to be gilding the lily. If you accept that raising children is an occupation that requires constant vigilance and tireless attention, then the conclusion is inevitable that two parents can do it better than one. (And, for that matter, that extended families can do it better than nuclear ones.)

But welfare benefits and housing priorities have not been created by themselves created a new moral climate. The idea that women could—or positively should—raise children without men has a long philosophical pedigree. It goes back at least to Engels's theory that the family was a bourgeois institution devised only to protect the inheritance of property. The "right" of women to raise children alone is now taken as a fundamental civil liberty both by the feminist lobby and the mainstream political left who see single parenthood as simply a lifestyle choice.

Making men surplus to requirements except, as A.H. Halsey has put it, as "genitals", has meant that the only really effective restraining presence on young males has been removed. But all this has been said many times. What remains for us to decide is whether any political changes—such as the cutting back of welfare benefits or changes in housing policy for unmarried mothers—are really to the point.

Has the social security system encouraged men to be irresponsible about fathering? Maybe. But could that have happened if male authority had not already declined? And might that decline not have created a culture that despises all forceful authority and which undermines even those fathers who are present? Certainly, the economic incentives cannot be ignored: nobody will look a welfare gift-horse in the mouth. But to control boys, we need a firm belief that what men can offer as parents is irreplaceable. And that, alas, cannot be legislated into existence.

The natural inclination of small boys is to be anarchic



Kenneth Clarke greets the press at the Treasury when appointed Chancellor. He should look to the past decade for his policy guide

Compete and conquer

Britain in the 1990s needs a good dose of the economic policies of the 1980s

Today Kenneth Clarke will address the Conservative party conference on the economy. Given his temperament, there is no danger that he will be too apologetic. Despite the past mishandling of the exchange-rate mechanism and the recession, he has a success story to tell, and one which may surprise his audience. I have the latest figures from Tim Congdon, who edits the *Gerrard and National Monthly Economic Review*.

Which of the major industrial powers increased manufacturing productivity most rapidly in the 1980s—that is from 1979 to 1989? One can go through the G7 list. Was it Canada? No. Canada's annual rate of productivity growth was a miserable 1.5 per cent. Was it Germany, before reunification? No. Germany had an almost equally stagnant rate of 2.3 per cent. Was it the United States? They did better, but only had a respectable growth rate of 3.4, close to France's 3.5 per cent. Now one comes to the high fliers, whose rate of productivity growth passed 4 per cent. Italy comes in at 4.3, Japan—

which in the 1980s one might have expected to come top—had an excellent 4.6. The British were best with an annual productivity growth rate of 4.8 per cent—the sort of rate which transforms a country's competitive position.

This excellent record has continued and even accelerated. In the year to the second quarter of 1993, the rate rose to 7.2 per cent. That is particularly significant, as productivity usually rises most rapidly in a period of boom, not in a year of late recession moving into early and hesitant recovery. Moreover, the British withdrawal from the ERM, combined with our refusal to accept the social chapter, has converted this growth of productivity into a real competitive advantage. The latest comparisons suggest that British labour costs are only half those of Germany, and about 60 per cent of those of France. In the new Japanese car factories British productivity is higher than that of Germany; both productivity and reliability are equal to the parent factories in Japan. Should an international company invest in the Ruhr or in South Wales? The Welsh have a decisive cost advantage.

Manufacturing productivity is the foundation of industrial competitiveness; it is also the best motive for industrial investment. Anyone would be crazy nowadays to build a car

factory in Germany; they would have been crazy 30 years ago to build in Britain when we had higher labour costs and half the German growth in productivity. Investment will always follow productivity, and will always tend to raise productivity further.

Part of this growth has been a matter of catching up. Britain's record of manufacturing productivity in the decades before 1979 was disastrous. There is still plenty of room for improvement. Yet the 1980s saw a revolution in British productivity which can largely be attributed to

the Conservative policies. They got some things wrong, but they got the essentials right.

Trade union reform probably had the greatest impact. Those who had to deal with the British unions in the 1970s will never forget the strength of their conviction that productivity was their property, which should be sold dearly, if at all. The trade union movement was the enemy of industrial efficiency; until its power was trimmed business could not become competitive.

The second policy was deregulation. The pity is that the early 1990s have seen a new wave of regulation, part, but not all, of it coming from Brussels. All regulation has costs in terms of efficiency. The third essential policy was the control of public expenditure. In continental Europe, industry has been loaded with social costs which account for a high percentage of the total cost of employment. So long as Margaret Thatcher was prime minister she was determined to keep the growth of public expenditure below the growth of the economy.

Nigel Lawson rationalised and reduced corporate and private taxation, and created a tax system with far fewer distortions and better incentives than had existed in 1979. There are criticisms to be made of Lord Lawson's credit and exchange policy; he remains the one great tax reformer of the second half of the 20th century.

Privatisation has also raised pro-

ductivity. In cars—with Rover's deal with the Japanese—in steel, in telecommunications and in energy, private ownership has been followed by higher productivity. The quality of British industrial investment improved in the 1980s, which was remarkably free from political interventions to support dying industries. Trade union reform, deregulation, control of public expenditure, corporate and individual tax reform, privatisation and avoidance of politicised intervention were the framework for the rise in competitiveness.

Conservatives would like to hear from Kenneth Clarke a reaffirmation of these policies for the 1990s. The fear is that they are all under attack from one side or another. Take, for instance, Labour policy. If one looks at the six policies which in the 1980s put Britain top of the G7 productivity league, the Labour party has been opposed to all six of them. It still is. Now John Smith has done his deal with the unions; he has bought one member one vote at who knows what eventual cost in British prosperity and jobs.

The Labour party would reverse much or most of the union reforms; would welcome many additional British and Brussels regulations; would add to public expenditure and accept the European social chapter; would raise taxes on individuals and probably on corporations; would cease all further privatisations and increase subsidies to the public sector; would intervene in industry for political reasons. The Labour party remains what it has always been, a comprehensive and powerful political force for reducing the growth of productivity and making us less competitive. On all the essential policies of productivity the Labour party has been wrong since it was founded, and is still wrong.

The threat inside the Conservative party comes from the pro-European wing. Our European partners have not accepted the British revolution of the 1980s. Their trade unions have not been reformed; every act of

industrial regulation has been replaced by a new regulation; their tax structures load social costs on industry; privatisation has not gone so far as in Britain; there is far more political intervention, culminating in the maddest subsidy of all, the £100 million common agricultural policy. Under the reactionary presidency of Jacques Delors, the European Commission has moved back towards the sclerotic socialism of the 1960s and 1970s, even though socialism was collapsing in the East.

At present, to be a good European has come to mean being a good socialist, being opposed to every intelligent measure to raise productivity and increase competitiveness. M. Delors believes that the answer to Europe's lack of competitiveness is new and higher subsidies, which is like taking Prussic acid as an antidote to arsenic. This foolishness cannot last for very long, because Europe has already become the highest cost, highest unemployment region of the advanced industrial world. One of the tragedies of British politics is that the Liberals, who in their ancient history were the party of free trade, have now become the most Europeanist of all the parties.

If Mr Clarke can restore the Conservative faith in these successful policies, his other problems, including the budget deficit, will fit into a coherent strategic framework. The public is understandably confused about the Conservative party's aims: it is, after all, not possible to be the party of productivity and the party of Maas-tricht at one and the same time. The policies which have served Britain so well may collide with the ideological Kohl-Mitterrand-Delors coalition in Europe. But those anti-competitive Euro-federalists are a trinity of dead geese in any case.

Britain can only save Europe from long-term depression if we can convince our partners that world competitiveness is the economic battleground of the 1990s. To survive, Europe must be competitive with the United States, with Japan, with the emerging Asian nations, with low Eastern European costs. Britain proved in the 1980s that its policies produce results. Europe will only prosper if the EC follows the example of the Britain of the 1980s, not that of Britain of the 1960s and 1970s. The same is true, incidentally, of the Conservative party.

William Rees-Mogg

Archer out for Hunt

IT WAS a good job application, as job applications go. But probably not good enough. Few were in any doubt yesterday at Blackpool that Lord Archer's tub-shumping Tory party conference speech was a thinly disguised bid to be the next party chairman.

But while the rank and file cheered on the pulp novelist, his John Prescott-like approach is likely to have left John Major cold. Far from appointing Archer to one of the most crucial political jobs, the prime minister is thinking of putting David Hunt, the employment secretary, into the hot seat.

Major and Hunt, the former Welsh secretary, are close friends and political allies. Hunt is also one of the best orators on the government frontbench and can be relied on to stir the party faithful with his rabble-rousing attacks on Labour and the Liberal Democrats.

The problem is that while Archer is capable enough of cheer-

ing up the party faithful and revitalising fundraising, he is not the Cabinet heavyweight that Major is determined should be in place for the next election. The most likely scenario, therefore, is


for Sir Norman Fowler to be switched in the next reshuffle, probably in the summer. And Archer will be offered deputy chairmanship of the party as a reward for his efforts.

"It's not just the party chairmanship which David Hunt is being tipped for. Many people see him as John Major's choice as his heir apparent," says one former minister. Tell that to Baroness Thatcher.

Norman Willis is spending his retirement from the TUC in the artist's studio. A painting by him entitled *Balloon Salesman in Siberia* has sold at auction for £120, and he says there are more masterpieces on the way. His medium is neither oil nor watercolours. "No, it's what suits are made out of," he explains. He means acrylics, presumably.

Striped for action

LITTLE do MPs realise what excitement lies in store when they return to the Commons after the recess in two weeks' time. Caterers' uniforms in the members' tearoom and the press gallery have been changed from the traditional dark



green to broad vertical stripes of white and burgundy.

According to Sue Harrison, director of catering services, the new uniforms were chosen by the catering staff themselves and match the Pugin-designed wallpaper in the tearoom.

The question now is what will happen to the old stock. Harrison says they "may be donated to charity." Michael Fabricant, Tory MP for Stuffs Mid, has written to Colin Shepherd, chairman of the catering committee, with a suggestion: "I know of a department store which is sending its old uniforms to Bosnia. If a department store can do it, why not the Commons?"

Ripping yarns

RIPPERMANIA is destined to continue despite the mixed reaction to

Shirley Harrison's *Diary of Jack the Ripper*, which fingered, to many minds erroneously, Liverpool cotton merchant James Maybrick as the diabolical and killer rapist. Two more books on the Ripper are on the way.

The first, due later this month, is John Wilding's *Jack the Ripper Revealed*, which claims that it will be the final chapter on the Ripper's identity. Then, next year, Melvin Harris, who is highly critical of Harrison's book, will publish his third title on the Ripper.

Wilding, an actor turned playwright, promises to provide documentary evidence which will lay to rest speculation about the Ripper's identity. "I am quite convinced that I have got the proof which would stand up in court." Haven't we heard these claims before?

Flower child

THE Rembrandts and Van Dycks of Chatsworth House are to be joined by a couple of lesser-known works bought by the Duchess of Devonshire at a private view in London this week.

Her grace's patronage was understandable, however. The two watercolours in question—one, a delicate portrait of yellow lilies (£1,000) and the other of narcissi (£500)—were executed by none

other than her botanically-minded daughter, Emma Tennant.

This is not to say that Tennant's exhibition of botanical paintings to raise money for Prior Park, a romantic garden in Bath recently acquired by the National Trust, was short on buyers. No, at least half of the 70 plus paintings were sold. But while the Duchess bought one of the two most expensive works, Lord Charley, the trusts' chairman, who hosted the private view, demurred. "I suppose I ought to buy one really. But they're a bit pricey aren't they?"

Rattled snake

THE latest excuse for late trains? Snakes on board. Passengers wait-



ing for the 20.38 train from London's Victoria station to Orpington this week heard and announcement that their transport would not be arriving because there had been a report of a "snake on the train". Not that this is common, stresses a BR spokesman: "Although I am told that in the Bromley area there is a man who travels with a snake in a plastic bag."

Feminist with teeth

GERMAINE Greer, who is renowned for setting men's teeth on edge, is having a few teething problems of her own. She has just been given 13 new ivory teeth: the originals, she says, were disintegrating. Her only problem now is that she experiences a little difficulty on the lecture circuit.

"They cost a huge sum of money and my dentist is a genius," she says. "But I do find that I've a tendency to whistle occasionally when I'm giving lectures. I'm sure I'll get used to it eventually, or at least my audiences will."

Listening with particular interest to Greer's confidences at a party in London this week given by Channel 4's Michael Grade was Esther Rantzen. She says: "Yes, she was talking to me about it... but I really can't understand why."

Too far, too fast for Russia

Western demands are excessive, says

Anne McElvoy

However protracted and at times petty the power battle between Boris Yeltsin and his conservative opponents has been, it will at least go down in history as having ended with a bang rather than a whimper.

For a generation of international leaders and policy makers schooled in the postwar creed that political differences should be resolved with words rather than shots, the events have proved more unsettling than the West's measured response would suggest. The calm statements of support for President Yeltsin during the assault are likely to be superseded by some nervous spasms among leaders who, having backed radical reform in Russia as a central plank of their foreign policy, find their own credibility linked to increasingly unpredictable developments there. There are strong indications that Mr Yeltsin intends to govern autocratically.

When President Clinton, at his spring summit in Vancouver with the Russian leader, urged "Win Boris, win", he could not have predicted that it would take force to achieve victory. His top Russia adviser, Strobe Talbot, has so far skirted an answer on the question of whether policy modification is now called for. Doubts about radical reform and the West's role in promoting it span political divides. It was the robust Republican senator Bob Dole who betrayed the first public wobble by a senior statesman when he responded to Monday's assault on parliament by saying: "I think when it's over, we're going to have to take a look at our fundamental relationship with Mr Yeltsin... We've put a lot of pressure through the World Bank and the IMF on Russia to immediately move to a market economy... and, of course, the result has been chaos and a lot of inflation. There are a lot of experts who think that it's precisely the wrong way to go."

When politicians start quoting "experts", it can be assumed that they are at something of a loss. For the past two years the monetarists have had the upper hand in Russia. The three main advisers to the Russian government—Professors Anders Ashund from Sweden, Jeffrey Sachs of the United States and Britain's Richard Layard—have been the academics given most credence by the West.

These three share the view that Russia needs shock therapy to jolt its citizens and institutions out of the moribund economic thinking of the communist years; unfettered price liberalisation, privatisation and strict control of the money supply are central tenets.

But the political consequences have been underestimated. The belated awakening came with the victory in recent Polish elections of former communists, which Mr Dole interpreted as a further indication that "we were pushing them too far, too fast". Even the most ardent advocates of rapid change will have heard the alarm bells in Moscow this week. The trouble is that there is no handbook of reform. Former Soviet republics such as Ukraine, which have balked at fast restructuring, are facing economic catastrophe.

Shock therapy produces an instant market in goods and services and encourages the birth of entrepreneurial spirit where none previously existed. Some Russians have found out that it works.


But many others—the low-paid, unskilled, middle-aged or pensioners—see little or no benefit accruing to them. They feel the shock of reform, rather than the therapy, and their resentments are exacerbated by the vast gap between themselves and the beneficiaries of change, all the more keenly felt in a society which was at least outwardly egalitarian.

At the height of its resistance to Mr Yeltsin this weekend, parliament mustered a demonstration of 15,000 people in its support. Take away the rabid nationalists and communists and the rest were largely cuffed from the economically disaffected. Their antipathy cannot be cured with force.

Mr Yeltsin's approach now seems to be to use the aftermath of the upheavals—when there is, in effect, no organised opposition—to press ahead with the creation of a strong state apparatus inside which reforms can continue. Elections will be held on his terms: freedoms of press and association are unlikely to be generous. From January, shops will be prohibited from forcing Western goods for dollars, selling all to embrace the rouble in an attempt to stabilise the currency. He is backing capitalism—even if he needs a welter of authoritarian decrees to achieve it.

These factors must surely shake the West out of its complacent assumption that democratic freedoms and economic reforms in Russia will be complementary and simultaneous. Having backed Yeltsin, even when he brought tanks onto the streets, the West can ill afford to drop him now. The result is likely to be that Western powers find excuses for undemocratic behaviour by the Russian leader in order to keep economic growth on course, feeling just a touch embarrassed over the pre-October days when they blithely believed they could have it both ways.

سكوت الحاصل



HOWARD'S BEGINNING

The home secretary makes a fine start to law and order reform

Michael Howard's exhilarating speech to the Tory party conference yesterday was populist in the best sense of the word. It addressed the anxiety of ordinary people about law and order and the rising fear that the criminal justice system is weighted in favour of the offender. Conservative home secretaries have often used their annual conference speeches to meet the party's desire for a muscular approach to crime. Few have offered such a substantial package of reforms to match their rhetoric.

Although the speech ranged from the reduction of police paperwork to the eviction of squatters, the underlying principles were clear. First, the Home Secretary intends to enhance the rights of the victims of crime by implementing the 11 relevant recommendations of the Royal Commission on Criminal Justice. Secondly he aims to strengthen the powers of those prosecuting crime: by giving the police extended powers to take DNA samples and abolishing the defendant's so-called "right to silence".

Thirdly, Mr Howard has explicitly renounced the discredited penal philosophy enshrined in the 1991 Criminal Justice Act. The size of the prison population is now intended to be dictated by the courts alone — with less weight for the Treasury's concerns over the cost of the prison service. Although the short-term problem of over-crowding remains, Mr Howard's announcement that six new prisons will be built by the private sector is an encouraging sign of the government's commitment to this difficult reform and of private firms' enthusiasm.

Much that the Home Secretary said will strike most people as mere common sense. The rule that the judge in a rape case must warn the jury of the danger of convicting on

the women's evidence alone is indeed ofensive and should be dropped. Few would disagree that community-work sentences need to be toughened up or that new guidelines on police cautioning are required. But in other respects Mr Howard was openly courting controversy, notably in his abrasive treatment of the "right to silence".

Civil liberty groups inevitably seized upon this point yesterday. In practice, however, all that is proposed is a change to the rules of evidence. Defendants will still have the right not to cooperate with police interrogation and cross-examination in court; but prosecutors and judges will now be able to draw a jury's attention to this decision. A suspect's silence will simply become an item of evidence. This reform is scarcely a major infringement of a defendant's liberty and may be a considerable social good. It should dissuade offenders from thwarting prosecution simply by saying nothing.

Surprisingly, Mr Howard had nothing to say about the Royal Commission's contentious recommendation that the right to elect jury trial be ended; nor did he add much to the increasingly fraught debate on police reform. How he responds to the Sheehy report on police pay and administration later this month will do much to determine the success or failure of these measures. Yet his speech was anything but evasive. It tackled directly an issue which has become an embarrassment to the Tories but could be one of their most reliable vote-winners by the next election. Mr Howard's performance combined the fiery style that inspires the party faithful with policy detail that will reassure the public. He must now ensure that his impressive proposals do not go the way of so many conference promises before them.

FROM THE HEART

Mr Patten has entered the endgame with China

A year ago the new governor of Hong Kong, Chris Patten, broke with years of British subservience to Peking. His strategy for democracy has transformed public debate. He promised a new, open and accountable, style of government; and set out proposals which would, before the handover to China in 1997, give constitutional form to the binding pledge set out in the 1984 Sino-British Declaration to preserve Hong Kong's way of life for at least 50 years thereafter.

Mr Patten had many successes to report to yesterday's annual address to Hong Kong's Legislative Council. In the past year Hong Kong has cut taxes, raised government spending and increased its reserves; brought government closer to the people; and completed or set in train no fewer than 103 plans to improve the colony's "quality of life". This year, its people will overtake Britain in per capita gross domestic product. Yesterday, Mr Patten made clear that his zeal for social reforms, and his conviction that Hong Kong's more vulnerable people deserve better protection, have only been whetted by his first year as governor.

Yet after a year of hostile pounding from Peking, with his electoral reform bill gathering dust and no hint of agreement on its provisions after 100 hours of Sino-British talks, Mr Patten's key task yesterday was to convince Hong Kong that Britain was both sincere in seeking to avoid confrontation with China, and unwaveringly determined to protect its promised autonomy after 1997. He had to meet his promise to keep Hong Kong's people, fed for weeks on a diet of Chinese whispers, properly informed without breaking the agreement to keep the content of the talks confidential. He had to reassure its democrats, without fanning anxieties about confrontation with China. He turned in a dazzling performance.

Britain, he told them, had shown "exemplary patience" and flexibility in the talks on the rules to govern Hong Kong's elections in 1994 and 1995, to which China finally agreed last April. In July and August Britain had

offered two deep concessions — conditional on an overall agreement. These are certainly significant. In a retreat from Mr Patten's plans to give votes for the "functional constituencies" in LegCo to almost all Hong Kong's 2.7 million working people, the electorate could be reduced to around a million. Britain has also offered to meet China's demand that the Election Committee which is to elect candidates for 10 more LegCo seats be modelled on the China's Basic Law for post-1997 Hong Kong — provided that all the committee's members are elected, not nominated.

Mr Patten was insistent, however, that Britain would not "give away our principles in order to sign a piece of paper". Elections in Hong Kong must be made open, locally acceptable and impossible to rig — and China must agree not to eject those elected from the "through train" to life under Chinese sovereignty. Anything less would bring corruption, endanger the rule of law and put at risk many of the freedoms guaranteed in the Joint Declaration. Nor would Britain accept indefinite Chinese stonewalling: without setting an exact date, Mr Patten said that there were "only weeks" rather than "months" left to reach agreement.

This appears to mean that by next month, when Mr Patten comes to London for consultations, the die will have been cast — and that in the absence of a deal, he is determined to set his original proposals before LegCo. He is likely to have to do just that: there is no sign that China's unbending hostility to any laws that would make a reality of the celebrated "one country, two systems" formula propounded by its leader, Deng Xiaoping is merely a negotiating ploy. In an appeal to Hong Kong's people, who will then have to decide what risks they are prepared to take to defend their freedoms, Mr Patten reminded them yesterday that "Liberty stands in the heart. When it shrivels there, nothing can save it." His steady determination to give them the choice has the ring of true leadership.

A STATION OF ONE'S OWN

The government's plan to sell off railway stations is flawed

Until now only players of Monopoly have been able to buy up London's stations and levy charges on those passing through. But Kings Cross and Liverpool Street may soon be among the handful of the country's leading termini that are to be sold off, on long leases, to private developers with the promise of untrammelled development and money-making on some of the most valuable commercial sites in Britain.

John MacGregor's proposals appear sound enough. The government wants to harness the wealth and bravado of the private sector to make the stations not only more attractive, more comfortable and more like airports, but to generate enough concourse revenue to ensure the upkeep of some of Britain's most striking Victorian architecture. Quick bids for these great assets in Britain's railway heritage will, he hopes, dispel some of the scepticism now surrounding the whole rail privatisation programme.

In the current state of the railway privatisation debate, such arguments are less than persuasive. Stations already generate revenue, bringing in a healthy £48 million last year. The rail system needs investment. Cross-subsidies can mitigate losses and keep down fares. Mr MacGregor clearly has in mind the kind of massive commercial exploitation that has trans-

formed most American termini into shopping malls vital to the regeneration of inner cities. But the impact there upon the primary function is not encouraging. The trains allowed nearest to most American stations are the quaint replicas of old-time steam engines set up near the hamburger bars. Despite the promise of stringent regulation under the MacGregor plan, yet another piece of bureaucracy in a scheme already half-strangled in red tape — the temptation to put shops and offices in the prime sites and hide away waiting rooms and ticket offices will be overwhelming.

The orange signals are already flashing at every stage of this tangled privatisation plan. It might make sense only if the demarcations between the various operating and supervisory functions were yet clear. Even then, to deprive the franchisees, who will assume responsibility for the other 2,450 BR stations, of the prestigious and revenue-generating grand termini is to risk further the already threatened aging urban stations and unstaffed rural halts. Stations are not airports, and developers may be unconvinced of the commercial advantage from people rushing through at full-tilt to catch the 6.18. Like the rest of the privatisation plan, too many assumptions are being made that, instead of developing the endangered rail system, could run it into the buffers.

Armed forces to be a 'paper tiger'?

From Field Marshal Lord Bramall

Sir, The Secretary of State for Defence is to be congratulated on the robust words he used at Blackpool (report, October 6) about the danger that any further defence cuts could turn the armed forces into a "paper tiger" which, as others from the floor reminded delegates, could not easily be revitalised.

Many of us in both Houses of Parliament have been saying exactly that for some time, and it now remains to see whether the Ministry of Defence view will prevail or whether the Treasury will have its way, with all those serious consequences.

But my experience in Whitehall tells me that there is only one person in the government who can tell the Treasury to "back off" and that is the prime minister; and I am quite certain that by now, with the international scene still so tense and uncertain, Margaret Thatcher would have told the Treasury ministers that enough was enough, that they had had their "peace dividend", and that no more could be safely taken away.

It will be interesting to see whether the present prime minister has the strength and inclination to do the same.

Yours faithfully,
BRAMALL
(Chief of the General Staff, 1979-82).
House of Lords.
October 6.

The Tory task

From Dr Christoph C. Lees

Sir, I find the criticisms of Mr Major from the ranks of his own party bewildering. At the time of Mrs Thatcher's political demise three years ago, her successor was seen as a leader of integrity, a consensus politician distant from the free-market excesses of the 1980s. This he still is, but he has fallen prey to the fickleness of his erstwhile supporters.

The government will survive only if it discards its 1980s dogma and returns to a more universal brand of Toryism.

Yours faithfully,
CHRISTOPH C. LEES,
30 Eaton House,
Vicarage Crescent, SW11.
October 4.

From Mr Mark Woolfenden

Sir, If John Major is to leave Blackpool with a united party behind him he must reaffirm a number of Conservative principles upon which the party has held power since 1979: low taxation, low inflation, strong law and order policies, and getting government out of the backs of the people. He should remember that the government should live within its means, just as the public and industry are expected to do. There is also a need for a reshuffle, so that some younger members of the parliamentary party are promoted with new ideas and enthusiasm to govern.

Yours sincerely,
MARK WOOLFENDEN,
5 Reform Street, SW8.
October 5.

From Mrs Barbara Boyd

Sir, Unlike Mr Eric Simpson (letter, October 4), I thought that last week's Conservative party political broadcast was brilliant. It was not aimed at the Tory faithful, but at those who, just like the couple depicted, are sitting in front of the "box" and would not usually have considered watching a party political broadcast, but whose attention (I have no doubt) was riveted to the screen. A non-political couple were being asked to think about this government's real achievements. I believe it will have worked.

Yours sincerely,
BARBARA BOYD,
4 Willow Grove,
Beverley, East Yorkshire.
October 4.

From Mr Jack Bleiman

Sir, I believe in the right to silence. Indeed I go further. In one case there should be a duty of silence. I refer, of course, to former prime ministers.

Yours sincerely,
JACK BLEIMAN,
12 Ellington Road, Muswell Hill, N10.
October 4.

From Mr Frank Wintle

Sir, Whilst one appreciates the reasons why politicians like Lord Parkinson ("Kitchen cabinet Toryism", October 6) need, in difficult times, to stress the need to concentrate on policies, not personalities, I suggest the first can have no existence independent of the second. Everything depends on the relative vigour and clarity of the minds and mouths which are formulating, transmitting, modifying and enacting the policies.

Which is, perhaps, why the message emerging from the Conservative party at the moment sounds something like "send three and fourpence (plus VAT), we're going to a dance".

Yours,
FRANK WINTLE
(Managing Director),
PanMedia Ltd,
4 Providence House,
Providence Place, NI.

Letters should carry a daytime telephone number. They may be faxed to 071-782 5046.

LETTERS TO THE EDITOR

1 Pennington Street, London E1 9XN Telephone 071-782 5000

Burdens imposed on parents by new child support law

From Mr James Pirrie

Sir, The examples of problems recorded in your report of September 30, "Child maintenance cases risk swamping the courts", are the tip of a vast iceberg. The Child Support Act 1991 had been enacted whereby a "clean break" was achieved, with the ex-wife taking all the substantial equity in the former matrimonial home in settlement of all claims. To compensate the fathers, agreements were reached that nominal maintenance would be paid for the children.

While it is possible to argue that the basis for such settlements was flawed because it took no account of the ongoing costs of bringing up children, that is not the point. The clients had come to this agreement, in good faith, that this was the correct way to proceed. In each case the court had endorsed the agreement exercising the obligation on it to consider whether a clean break was appropriate.

Both clients voluntarily took over the substantial family debts. Both now have maintenance assessments they cannot meet from their limited resources, having rehoused themselves. Both have new wives whose former partners are unemployed and whose own child support maintenance contribution will therefore be at a nominal rate.

Both clients have received a bill for £78 from the Child Support Agency for the "service" which has been provided to them. Both have also been told they are in arrears on child support maintenance of almost £1,000 because it took the CSA two months to carry out the actual assessment and advise them.

Both clients are applying for legal aid to overturn the original agreements to seek maintenance from the mothers in order to be able to pay back to them the child support maintenance ordered. The taxpayer will be footing the legal bill which results from the mayhem produced by a measure designed to save the taxpayer money.

Yours faithfully,
OLIVER GRAVELL,
Russell Jones & Walker (solicitors),
Swinson House,
324 Gray's Inn Road, WCI.
October 1.

From Mrs Lynne Jones
Sir, I am appalled and puzzled to read of the decision by the new Child Support Agency to make Mr Leslie McLean increase his child maintenance payments to his ex-wife from £123 to £473 per month (report, September 30).

The CSA booklet states that if you have an existing child maintenance arrangement (as Mr McLean clearly did for seven years) then the agency will only take such cases on after April 1996. I found this to my cost this summer when, representing myself, I faced my ex-husband's solicitor and barrister in a county court.

For ten years my ex-husband paid £100 per month maintenance for our two children (now 15 and 13 years old), who live with me. We both remarried and formed second families, though I was subsequently widowed. On reviewing the maintenance payments the judge decided that, despite our relatively similar household incomes (he is a university lecturer and I am a secondary school teacher), the child maintenance be reduced to 5p per annum. In our case, unlike the rigid CSA formula by which (according to your report) the father's debts, school fees and costs of childcare from his second family are not taken into account, all these factors were fully considered.

Do we have two laws operating simultaneously? One "penalising responsible fatherhood", to quote your leader of September 30, the other penalising responsible motherhood?

Yours faithfully,
LYNNE JONES,
Tredarrup Farm,
St Neot, Liskeard, Cornwall.

From Mr P. G. Jones

Sir, Having examined the CSA booklet, I find that the contributions which I pay towards the upkeep of my three children could increase by 30-40 per cent. This extra could only be financed by visiting them a good deal less. It would be cheaper for the children to live with me, a prospect which I would welcome but is unlikely to meet with any enthusiasm from their mother.

So what can I do? Do I pay more to see my children less? Do I refuse to pay the extra and take my chances in court? Do I hope to persuade the CSA that parents already paying voluntarily are probably doing their best already? Dare I suggest that their targets may be reached by examining those who pay nothing? Do I run away to South America and leave you to pay it for me?

Yours etc.
P. G. JONES,
5 Windsor Road,
Petersfield, Hampshire.
October 1.

From Mr Nicholas Albery

Sir, To commemorate The Times World Chess Championship, could not a large chess board with hip-high pieces be created, perhaps outside the café in St James's Park or in Regent's Park, or in some other such place where there is reasonable security?

On two instances when travelling, once in Ludwigsburg in Germany, and once in the city centre of Lausanne, I have felt immediately integrated into local life by playing on their giant open-air chess boards, with spectators urging me on as to what my next move should be.

I feel the lack of similar places in London. Indeed, if every school had such a board in its playground, we might see a few more Nigel Shorts.

Yours faithfully,
NICHOLAS ALBERY (Chairman),
The Institute for Social Inventions,
20 Heber Road, NW2.

Business letters, page 27
Sports letters, page 40

From Mr Oliver Gravel

Sir, In the past week I have had two clients come to me in despair as a result of a Child Support Agency assessment. In both cases a settlement had been negotiated before the Child Support Act 1991 had been enacted whereby a "clean break" was achieved, with the ex-wife taking all the substantial equity in the former matrimonial home in settlement of all claims. To compensate the fathers, agreements were reached that nominal maintenance would be paid for the children.

While it is possible to argue that the basis for such settlements was flawed because it took no account of the ongoing costs of bringing up children, that is not the point. The clients had come to this agreement, in good faith, that this was the correct way to proceed. In each case the court had endorsed the agreement exercising the obligation on it to consider whether a clean break was appropriate.

Both clients voluntarily took over the substantial family debts. Both now have maintenance assessments they cannot meet from their limited resources, having rehoused themselves. Both have new wives whose former partners are unemployed and whose own child support maintenance contribution will therefore be at a nominal rate.

Both clients have received a bill for £78 from the Child Support Agency for the "service" which has been provided to them. Both have also been told they are in arrears on child support maintenance of almost £1,000 because it took the CSA two months to carry out the actual assessment and advise them.

Both clients are applying for legal aid to overturn the original agreements to seek maintenance from the mothers in order to be able to pay back to them the child support maintenance ordered. The taxpayer will be footing the legal bill which results from the mayhem produced by a measure designed to save the taxpayer money.

Yours faithfully,
OLIVER GRAVELL,
Russell Jones & Walker (solicitors),
Swinson House,
324 Gray's Inn Road, WCI.
October 1.

From Mrs Lynne Jones

Sir, I am appalled and puzzled to read of the decision by the new Child Support Agency to make Mr Leslie McLean increase his child maintenance payments to his ex-wife from £123 to £473 per month (report, September 30).

The CSA booklet states that if you have an existing child maintenance arrangement (as Mr McLean clearly did for seven years) then the agency will only take such cases on after April 1996. I found this to my cost this summer when, representing myself, I faced my ex-husband's solicitor and barrister in a county court.

For ten years my ex-husband paid £100 per month maintenance for our two children (now 15 and 13 years old), who live with me. We both remarried and formed second families, though I was subsequently widowed. On reviewing the maintenance payments the judge decided that, despite our relatively similar household incomes (he is a university lecturer and I am a secondary school teacher), the child maintenance be reduced to 5p per annum. In our case, unlike the rigid CSA formula by which (according to your report) the father's debts, school fees and costs of childcare from his second family are not taken into account, all these factors were fully considered.

Do we have two laws operating simultaneously? One "penalising responsible fatherhood", to quote your leader of September 30, the other penalising responsible motherhood?

Yours faithfully,
LYNNE JONES,
Tredarrup Farm,
St Neot, Liskeard, Cornwall.

From Mr P. G. Jones

Sir, Having examined the CSA booklet, I find that the contributions which I pay towards the upkeep of my three children could increase by 30-40 per cent. This extra could only be financed by visiting them a good deal less. It would be cheaper for the children to live with me, a prospect which I would welcome but is unlikely to meet with any enthusiasm from their mother.

So what can I do? Do I pay more to see my children less? Do I refuse to pay the extra and take my chances in court? Do I hope to persuade the CSA that parents already paying voluntarily are probably doing their best already? Dare I suggest that their targets may be reached by examining those who pay nothing? Do I run away to South America and leave you to pay it for me?

Yours etc.
P. G. JONES,
5 Windsor Road,
Petersfield, Hampshire.
October 1.

From Mr Nicholas Albery

Sir, To commemorate The Times World Chess Championship, could not a large chess board with hip-high pieces be created, perhaps outside the café in St James's Park or in Regent's Park, or in some other such place where there is reasonable security?

On two instances when travelling, once in Ludwigsburg in Germany, and once in the city centre of Lausanne, I have felt immediately integrated into local life by playing on their giant open-air chess boards, with spectators urging me on as to what my next move should be.

I feel the lack of similar places in London. Indeed, if every school had such a board in its playground, we might see a few more Nigel Shorts.

Yours faithfully,
NICHOLAS ALBERY (Chairman),
The Institute for Social Inventions,
20 Heber Road, NW2.

Business letters, page 27
Sports letters, page 40

From Mr James Pirrie

Sir, The examples of problems recorded in your report of September 30, "Child maintenance cases risk swamping the courts", are the tip of a vast iceberg. The Child Support Act 1991 had been enacted whereby a "clean break" was achieved, with the ex-wife taking all the substantial equity in the former matrimonial home in settlement of all claims. To compensate the fathers, agreements were reached that nominal maintenance would be paid for the children.

While it is possible to argue that the basis for such settlements was flawed because it took no account of the ongoing costs of bringing up children, that is not the point. The clients had come to this agreement, in good faith, that this was the correct way to proceed. In each case the court had endorsed the agreement exercising the obligation on it to consider whether a clean break was appropriate.

Both clients voluntarily took over the substantial family debts. Both now have maintenance assessments they cannot meet from their limited resources, having rehoused themselves. Both have new wives whose former partners are unemployed and whose own child support maintenance contribution will therefore be at a nominal rate.

Both clients have received a bill for £78 from the Child Support Agency for the "service" which has been provided to them. Both have also been told they are in arrears on child support maintenance of almost £1,000 because it took the CSA two months to carry out the actual assessment and advise them.

Both clients are applying for legal aid to overturn the original agreements to seek maintenance from the mothers in order to be able to pay back to them the child support maintenance ordered. The taxpayer will be footing the legal bill which results from the mayhem produced by a measure designed to save the taxpayer money.

Yours faithfully,
OLIVER GRAVELL,
Russell Jones & Walker (solicitors),
Swinson House,
324 Gray's Inn Road, WCI.
October 1.

From Mrs Lynne Jones
Sir, I am appalled and puzzled to read of the decision by the new Child Support Agency to make Mr Leslie McLean increase his child maintenance payments to his ex-wife from £123 to £473 per month (report, September 30).

The CSA booklet states that if you have an existing child maintenance arrangement (as Mr McLean clearly did for seven years) then the agency will only take such cases on after April 1996. I found this to my cost this summer when, representing myself, I faced my ex-husband's solicitor and barrister in a county court.

For ten years my ex-husband paid £100 per month maintenance for our two children (now 15 and 13 years old), who live with me. We both remarried and formed second families, though I was subsequently widowed. On reviewing the maintenance payments the judge decided that, despite our relatively similar household incomes (he is a university lecturer and I am a secondary school teacher), the child maintenance be reduced to 5p per annum. In our case, unlike the rigid CSA formula by which (according to your report) the father's debts, school fees and costs of childcare from his second family are not taken into account, all these factors were fully considered.

Do we have two laws operating simultaneously? One "penalising responsible fatherhood", to quote your leader of September 30, the other penalising responsible motherhood?

Yours faithfully,
LYNNE JONES,
Tredarrup Farm,
St Neot, Liskeard, Cornwall.

From Mr P. G. Jones

Sir, Having examined the CSA booklet, I find that the contributions which I pay towards the upkeep of my three children could increase by 30-40 per cent. This extra could only be financed by visiting them a good deal less. It would be cheaper for the children to live with me, a prospect which I would welcome but is unlikely to meet with any enthusiasm from their mother.

So what can I do? Do I pay more to see my children less? Do I refuse to pay the extra and take my chances in court? Do I hope to persuade the CSA that parents already paying voluntarily are probably doing their best already? Dare I suggest that their targets may be reached by examining those who pay nothing? Do I run away to South America and leave you to pay it for me?

Yours etc.
P. G. JONES,
5 Windsor Road,
Petersfield, Hampshire.
October 1.

From Mr Nicholas Albery

Sir, To commemorate The Times World Chess Championship, could not a large chess board with hip-high pieces be created, perhaps outside the café in St James's Park or in Regent's Park, or in some other such place where there is reasonable security?

On two instances when travelling, once in Ludwigsburg in Germany, and once in the city centre of Lausanne, I have felt immediately integrated into local life by playing on their giant open-air chess boards, with spectators urging me on as to what my next move should be.

I feel the lack of similar places in London. Indeed, if every school had such a board in its playground, we might see a few more Nigel Shorts.

Yours faithfully,
NICHOLAS ALBERY (Chairman),
The Institute for Social Inventions,
20 Heber Road, NW2.

Business letters, page 27
Sports letters, page 40

● Passengers as cargo ● European competition fears ● Cuisine leaves bad taste

TRAVEL LOGS

Branson suggests no-window aircraft

AIRLINE passengers this week reacted with incredulity to proposals to build long-range jumbo jets without windows. The idea, floated by Richard Branson, chairman of Virgin Atlantic, in a chat with executives of Airbus Industrie, is to build an aircraft with video screens in place of windows.

Airbus executives agreed to ask for passenger reaction. John Parr, director general of the Airline Users' Committee, gave his immediately. "I am sure there are many passengers, like me, who like to look at clouds," he said. "I think it would be very unnerving to sit in an aircraft without windows, especially for anyone who might suffer, however slightly, from claustrophobia." Mr Branson thinks that cargo aircraft, already flying without windows, could be adapted to have screens down one side showing mountains, sea, art or even films, rather than "boring" clouds.

Must fly, on time

CONVENIENT flying times are the most important consideration for business travellers when choosing an airline, according to a new survey. A total of 83 per cent of respondents specified departure and arrival times as one of three key factors influencing selection, with more than half nominating it as the single most important consideration. Past experience and the airline's safety record tied for second place. Frequent-flyer programmes, booking incentives and the air fare shared joint third place, according to the business travel survey by ABC Executive Flight Planners.

Transatlantic cargo


AN increase in the volume of packages being sent internationally has led United Parcel Service (UPS) to begin flying a 747-100 cargo jet between America and Europe. Two UPS DC-8 freighters at present fly the route. The 747, which has twice the capacity of a DC-8, will replace one of them.

Florida safety drive

MONARCH Airlines is to feature an in-flight video, directed at motorists, on charter flights to Orlando. It aims to give drivers an understanding of driving conventions in Florida. Route signs are explained and map graphics provide an idea of the size and geography of the state.

Busy Heathrow

HEATHROW Airport is the world's busiest international airport. BAA says. More than 4,730,000 passengers passed through its doors during August 1993. This year, up to the end of August, a total of 46,687,000 people used Heathrow — an increase of almost 6 per cent on the same period for 1991-2.



An artist's impression of a Boeing design for the next generation of aircraft. Airlines may need the biggest to be able to take 1,000 passengers

Bigger jumbo on the way

By CHRISTOPHER LOCKWOOD

GIANT jetliners up to double the size of today's jumbos could be in regular service around the world within seven years. Experts speaking today at a Royal Aeronautical Society conference on future megajets will confirm that aircraft up to a size of 1,000 seats. Airlines say they will need such giants soon.

Captain Robin Acton, fleet portfolio manager for corporate strategy for British Airways, says his airline has been aware of the need for a plane bigger than the Boeing 747 since 1991. He says: "An economic analysis of the potential world market for a 600-seat aircraft concluded that, at

most, there would be room for only two competing aircraft of this capacity."

Although Boeing and Airbus Industrie are both planning contenders, the huge costs involved suggest that only international collaboration will work.

Captain Acton adds that although the recession has had a major impact on global airline finances, the long-term trend is for 5 to 6 per cent annual growth in worldwide traffic as demand in the Pacific region grows faster than the world average. "BA's global strategy," Captain Acton says, "requires that it has a vehicle to compete effectively in these key long-range intercontinental markets. By the turn of the century, the 747 will be too small on routes where

frequency increases are not possible."

Airlines believe that the developing shortage of runway capacity, or take-off slots, especially in Europe and Japan, limits the possibility of carrying increasing traffic with more flights. BA and many other leading airlines believe that the answer is to cope with increases by using larger aircraft.

Don Jagger, chief engineer for future projects at British Aerospace Airbus, says the aircraft required would be much larger in weight, length and wingspan than 747s.

He adds, however, that the problems of creating such an aircraft within existing constraints of noise, airport limitations, ground handling and other infrastructure considerations are enormous.

Among the difficulties to be resolved is the key issue of safe aircraft separation, both on the ground and in the airways, in particular in connection with jet vortex or wake. It is this factor that at present dictates the time and distance between take-offs at airports

and limits runway capacity.

Graeme Ritchie, the operations manager for safety and security for BAA, formerly the British Airports Authority, says that the relationship between the aircraft's increased passenger capacity, potential vortex separation and runway movement rates must be explored. If the plane needed too much space between departures, the advantage over several smaller flights would be lost.

The experts agree that a new large aircraft would be about 87 metres long compared with the Boeing 747-400's 71 metres and have a wingspan of 85 metres compared with the 747's existing 65 metres.

Airport authorities around the world are worried about restructuring ground facilities to handle such planes, but that concern mirrors almost exactly the same worries expressed before the dawn of the jumbo era in the late 1960s.

Boeing, British Aerospace, Germany's Deutsche Aerospace, Aerospatiale de France and CASA of Spain are working

jointly on a plane that would be capable of flying more than 8,000 miles non-stop.

Among the detailed designs now being considered are a triple-deck "flying hotel", which will have a large lounge area and bar, perhaps linked to lower decks by a moving staircase or escalator and a twin-hulled project by Airbus.

The new jet would have to be capable of flying non-stop for up to 14 hours and therefore must provide passengers with the opportunity to take exercise, serve themselves from a self-service restaurant and work. If necessary, through a series of computers and telephones linked via satellite to the ground. Overhead lockers would be abolished and clothes and luggage would be stored either in personal lockers at the back of the seat or in a central hanging area.

The aircraft would have to have folding or telescopic wings to enable them to use the runways of "hub" airports in America, Europe and Asia.

VIEWPOINT

Open sky hopes fade

By HARVEY ELLIOTT

FOR A moment last week, it seemed Brussels had finally outlawed any future subsidy for Europe's loss-making state-owned airlines. Karel van Miert, the competition commissioner, told ministers from the 12 EC member states there was to be "no going back" on the community's plans for "open skies".

"What some of the airlines are after apparently is a price cartel and that we cannot and will not allow," he added. He also seemed to reject further EC funding for loss-making carriers such as Aer Lingus, Iberia, Air France, TAP and Olympic, who he accused of harbouring a "certain nostalgia for the cosy state-controlled cartels that existed before EC liberalisation".

His words would, at first, seem music to the ears of British airlines, which have seen governments inject £2 billion into rivals since 1990. British Airways, Virgin Atlantic, British Midland Airways and charter operators gave the same message to a committee appointed by the EC to find answers to the financial problems of Europe's airlines: put the law into effect and ban further subsidies. Sir Colin



BA's five partner airlines will the British now dominate Europe?

Marshall, BA's chairman, said: "State aid enables airlines to operate on routes with commercially unjustified amounts of capacity."

Sir Michael Bishop, British Midland's chairman, said state aid was "totally unacceptable to companies in the private sector and is in clear breach of the Commission rule that such financial support should have ceased by December 31, 1992".

Richard Branson, chairman of Virgin, complained that "the same old state-owned and private monopolies of Europe continue to lumber along getting bigger".

Sabena argues that EC funds should be available to the airline industry "to enable it to adapt capacity to demand progressively, as it did for the European steel and textile industries two years ago".

Sabena is certain to be supported by other state-owned airlines, which will argue that without transitional state support to reorganise themselves, Britain's private, lean and hungry airlines would be left to dominate the single market in Europe.

The fear remains that Britain will be out-voted in crunch meetings among transport ministers, who are responsible for approving subsidies, although it has right and the law indisputably on its side.

British airlines can only hope for a gradual shedding of unprofitable routes by Europe's state carriers.

State aid for the airline industry is therefore, still not outlawed in much of Europe and is unlikely to be — at least until the recession on the Continent ends and the monopolies have become efficient and profitable.

TRAVEL/FLIGHTS

INTER EUROPE TRAVEL LTD

prices from:	o/w	rtn	prices from:	o/w	rtn
AMSTERDAM	58	88	MILAN	99	149
BERLIN	114	138	MUNICH	99	154
BRUSSELS	85	105	NICE	129	139
COPENHAGEN	115	142	PARIS	67	89
FRANKFURT	65	95	ROME	84	154
GENEVA	149	175	STOCKHOLM	175	
LYON	99	143	VIENNA	114	154
MADRID	99	149	ZURICH	89	129

ALSO 2* & 3* HOTELS IN PARIS & NICE (Ask for brochure)

MANY OTHER DESTINATIONS AVAILABLE
TEL: 071-630 5188 ext 15

FAX: 071-233 9141
83 BUCKINGHAM PALACE ROAD,
LONDON SW1V 0JQ,
(VICTORIA STATION)
FULLY BONDED ATOL 2783

TRAILFINDERS

LOW COST FLIGHTS WORLDWIDE
and up to 60% discount on hotels & car hire.
Around the world from £284
Sydney return from £549
First class hotels from £35 per night
Call Trailfinders for the complete travel service.
Kensington: (Longham) 071-338 3395 (USA & Europe) 071-638 3232
Earls Court: (Longham) 071-638 3366 (USA & Europe) 071-637 5400
Manchester: 061-839 6989 Glasgow: 041-353 2224
ATOL 1453 IATA ASTA 68701

FLIGHT CENTRE

USA	From	Return
CARIBBEAN	£175	RTN
CANADA	£175	RTN
AUSTRALIA	£175	RTN
FAR EAST	£175	RTN
AFRICA	£175	RTN
EUROPE	£175	RTN

MANY, MANY MORE.
Access & Visa welcome
Discounted insurance
Retail agents for ABTA/ATOL
TEL: 081-669 8607

FLIGHTS EUROPE AND WORLDWIDE

Destination	From	Return
Amsterdam	£175	RTN
London	£175	RTN
Paris	£175	RTN
Rome	£175	RTN
Madrid	£175	RTN
Barcelona	£175	RTN
Frankfurt	£175	RTN
Munich	£175	RTN
Stockholm	£175	RTN
Oslo	£175	RTN
Copenhagen	£175	RTN
Helsinki	£175	RTN
Turkey	£175	RTN
India	£175	RTN
Japan	£175	RTN
Australia	£175	RTN
New Zealand	£175	RTN
South Africa	£175	RTN
USA	£175	RTN
Canada	£175	RTN
USA	£175	RTN
Canada	£175	RTN

Simply Flights (Travelspan Group)
071 637 1637

TRAVEL FLIGHTS

TO ADVERTISE EVERY THURSDAY TELEPHONE OUR SALES ADVISOR SANDY MILNE
TEL: 071 481 1989 EXT 169

LOWEST PRICES

ALICANTE	GUARANTEED	MALAGA
ARRECIFFE	SIMPLY THE BEST	TENERIFE
ORLANDO	DESTINATIONS	FARO
	DIRECT	
	CALL NOW ON	
	061 343 2192	

TRAVEL INSURANCE

With Quality Cover and the Cheapest Rates anywhere
Nobody beats our Prices!
CALL NOW OPEN 9am-5pm 7 DAYS
061 339 1197

UP TO 60% DISCOUNT ON SCHEDULED FLIGHTS WORLDWIDE

WORLD LINK
Agents for ABTA, ATOL & IATA member airlines
TEL: 071 729 6940

FLIGHT PLAN

Seat Search Specialists in Low Cost, scheduled Air Fares Worldwide.
AMERICA AUSTRALASIA FAR EAST AFRICA EUROPE
Call for the latest fares on YOUR travel dates.
021 733 7378

ORLANDO USA

CHEAPEST FLIGHTS
0204 370500

BUDGET FLIGHTS

GOLIATH AIR TRAVEL
275 Kirkdale, London SE26 4QD
Alicante £39 Girona £39
Almeria £49 Ibiza £39
Athens £49 Malaga £39
Dalmian £39 Palma £39
1,000s more fares & destinations
Guaranteed you can't buy cheaper
Free £20 travel insurance now!
081-244 5000
Worldwide South Agents for ABTA/ATOL IATA MEMBER AIRLINES

AMSTERDAM

£83 RETURN
WORLDWIDE DISCOUNT FLIGHTS
TRAILBLAZERS
Tel: 071 727 1898
ATOL 0005

PRESTIGE TRAVEL CLUB

OCTOBER SPECIALS
PRICES GUARANTEED ABTA 01234
Flights subject to availability
Tenerife 159 New York 239
Frankfurt 115 Toronto 219
Malaga 119 Orlando 259
Paris 90 Sydney 690
Naples 149 Cancun 418
Tel: 071-272 7152
Fax: 071-281 6178

FLIGHT ONLY

Lanzarote, Tenerife, Orlando, Malaga, Faro, Alicante
Travel Bank
061 371 8132
ABTA 0435X
Retail agents for Aol

AIRLINES TRAVEL

WORLDWIDE	EUROPE
Amsterdam £75	Amsterdam £75
London £75	London £75
Paris £75	Paris £75
Rome £75	Rome £75
Madrid £75	Madrid £75
Barcelona £75	Barcelona £75
Frankfurt £75	Frankfurt £75
Munich £75	Munich £75
Stockholm £75	Stockholm £75
Oslo £75	Oslo £75
Copenhagen £75	Copenhagen £75
Helsinki £75	Helsinki £75
Turkey £75	Turkey £75
India £75	India £75
Japan £75	Japan £75
Australia £75	Australia £75
New Zealand £75	New Zealand £75
South Africa £75	South Africa £75
USA £75	USA £75
Canada £75	Canada £75
USA £75	USA £75
Canada £75	Canada £75

CHECKIN TRAVEL

Return Flight only prices	From	To
Amsterdam £75	Australia £555	
Frankfurt £75	Bangkok £350	
Madrid £75	Beijing £350	
Munich £75	Dubai £325	
Paris £75	Hong Kong £325	
Prague £75	London £75	
Vienna £75	New York £195	
Zurich £75	Peking £445	
Amsterdam £75	Australia £555	
Frankfurt £75	Bangkok £350	
Madrid £75	Beijing £350	
Munich £75	Dubai £325	
Paris £75	Hong Kong £325	
Prague £75	London £75	
Vienna £75	New York £195	
Zurich £75	Peking £445	

GERMAN TRAVEL CENTRE

Return Flight only prices	From	To
Amsterdam £75	Australia £555	
Frankfurt £75	Bangkok £350	
Madrid £75	Beijing £350	
Munich £75	Dubai £325	
Paris £75	Hong Kong £325	
Prague £75	London £75	
Vienna £75	New York £195	
Zurich £75	Peking £445	

FLIGHTS

Prices from:	From	To
Alicante £39	Malaga £39	
Almeria £49	Ibiza £39	
Athens £49	Malaga £39	
Dalmian £39	Palma £39	
Faro £39	Palma £39	
Greece £49	Palma £39	

VICEROY TRAVEL

0476 74111 ABTA 0362

CHEAP FLIGHTS

Fares from:	From	To
Athens £39	Spain £39	
Cannes £39	Orlando £39	
Corfu £39	Palma £39	
Portugal £39	Turkey £39	

Airport's Olympic high

By TONY ROCCA AND RONALD FAUX

MANCHESTER airport is forging ahead with ambitions to become the showpiece gateway to the North, despite the city's failure in its bid to host the Olympic Games.

The airport handles 13 million passengers a year, making it third in national importance after Heathrow and Gatwick. About 20 million passengers are forecast for the turn of the century, a figure likely to increase to 30 million by 2005.

"The Olympics have been a great boost," says Tim Jeans, who is in charge of market development for the airport. This week, "We lost the bid but the city has undergone a real renaissance. The bid has been a catalyst for change."

Manchester already ranks as eighth most commercially successful airport in the world. Last year it declared £42 million in profits. In April a £265 million second terminal was opened, followed by a £28 million rail link that has outperformed traffic forecasts by more than 50 per cent, carrying 338,000 passengers in its first four months.

Next summer a public enquiry is due to determine whether a second runway should be built. This would boost to 70 the airport's present capacity of 42 aircraft movements an hour.

Satellites to rule the air

By MARIANNE CURPHY

PRESSURE on governments was growing last week to press ahead with the early introduction of a satellite-based air traffic control system to cope with the expected doubling of aircraft movements by the year 2010.

The future air navigation system (FANS) is based on a now-complete worldwide network of military satellites, and would provide instant navigational accuracy of the same standard as that used by the American cruise missiles during their long-range attacks on Baghdad.

Supporters of FANS say that governments are stalling because of military security implications, the cost of implementation, the need for the relaxation of national boundaries and the complex problems over funding and charges.

However, all 24 American-built satellites necessary to provide global cover are in place already, and Russia has installed 12 of its 24.

"The cost would be high," says John Meredith, the senior director of the International Air Transport Association Action Group, "but the annual savings to the airlines would pay for it ten times over."

Mr Meredith claims that delays and congestion in Europe and America alone cost more than \$10 billion a

year, while the savings available from using satellites would amount to at least \$6 billion a year through the elimination of the existing and highly complex ground-based beacons and radars.

"Delaying one Boeing 747 one minute an hour costs the world economy \$1 million a year," he says.

According to the Geneva-based Air Transport Action Group, a 747 waiting in line for take-off burns 17 gallons of fuel every minute — one litre a second.

The lobbying group is led by IATA, Boeing and Airbus Industrie. It also includes 55 other interested parties and is urging governments to abandon land-based ATC systems which are hampered by natural obstacles and national boundaries.

Martin Brackenbury, the president of the International Federation of Tour Operators, which is backing the FANS campaign, says: "With this technology, controllers can locate each aircraft within a few feet. This would allow a higher density of traffic, but would ignore national boundaries."

Ground-based ATC systems vary around the world and many are finding it increasingly difficult to cope with air traffic volume which continues to increase at 5 or 6 per cent every year.

Where not to eat at JFK airport

By ROBIN YOUNG

EGON Ronay, for decades the scourge of British catering, says that Kennedy Airport (JFK) in New York serves "with far too few exceptions, the worst food — some of it disgusting — I have ever experienced anywhere. With my experience of mass-catering in Britain going back 40 years, that's saying something."

Mr Ronay is officially retained by BAA to inspect and report on food standards at Heathrow and Gatwick, which he says are "incomparably better than those at JFK".

With his colleague, Nigel Jones, Mr Ronay tested more than 150 items of food


and beverages in 20 outlets at JFK's eight terminals.

Their agreed verdicts cite third-rate ham, astringent hot dogs, undrinkable coffee, unrecognisable shrimps and "dreadful" ravioli which "looked as though it had been eaten before".

The East-side Café, he describes as "a most depressing, ill-lit room more like a refugees' transit camp than a dining area".

The United Terminal's Coffee House he found "mouldy", serving coffee "unacceptable and bitter". A hamburger at Burger King in the Delta Terminal was "completely dried-out — to us almost inedible".

In his report, which appears in the autumn edition of Egon Ronay Recommends, distributed free to passengers at Heathrow and Gatwick, Mr Ronay concludes: "I think the main reason is that at each terminal one company has a catering monopoly. The only way to bring about a dramatic change is to introduce competition."



660

● Telephone bill rebellion ● Winter sports across the Atlantic ● Protest at 'sales ploy'

Outrage at hotel calls surcharge

By Robin Young and David Churchill

BUSINESS and leisure travellers are becoming increasingly concerned about the high mark-up — estimated at 700 per cent or more — charged by some hotels for using hotel telephones.

They are also angry at moves by some hotels to block toll-free calls made to telephone chargecard companies such as AT&T or BT, a tactic often used by frequent business travellers to avoid paying hotel telephone surcharges. Many hotels have now agreed to allow such toll-free calls but make a charge, on average about £1.50, every time the number is called.

An AT&T survey, carried out with the magazine *Executive Travel*, found that queries over hotel telephone charges were the third most common among business travellers, after airport and airline problems.

The survey discovered that the cost of a ten-minute call from European hotels to America varied widely, according to how much the hotel surcharged. It found, for example, that the Frankfurt Sheraton charged £75.20 for the call, the London Selfridge £53.60 and the Paris Hilton £42.80. Almost a third of business travellers in the survey also reported that they had found discrepancies in their last hotel telephone bill.

The Consumers' Association, which has just published the latest edition of its *Which? Hotel Guide*, says that it has received many outraged letters from consumers. "This is not surprising, given that hotels have told us of surcharges of



Skating at Lake Louise, Banff, where a two-week holiday in a leading hotel can cost £950, including flights from Britain

Canadians go on snow offensive

By Graham Duffell

CANADA, the poor relation of North American skiing, has adopted an aggressive marketing policy to attract British skiers.

Key points are that Canada is closer to Britain than the American Rockies and cheaper. It takes just over eight hours to fly to Calgary and nine to Vancouver. The American Rockies take between 15 and 18 hours to reach.

The Canadian Tourist Board estimates that 70 per cent of the 4,000 British skiers it attracted last year went to the Banff area, with most of the rest heading for Whistler — both about ten hours travelling time from Britain. Banff is on the westerly

edge of the Rockies and has drier snow than Whistler, which can be hit by bad weather causing pistes to turn soggy at base and icy at the top.

Winter is low season in Banff, and a week at the Chateau Lake Louise, one of the world's best hotels, sells for about £700, including flights, through American Independence, and £950 for two weeks. Chateau Whistler, another of Canadian Pacific Hotels' best hotels, costs about £750 a week and £1,000 for two weeks, including flights.

Accommodation at these prices at five-star hotels is difficult to obtain not only in America, but also in the Alps. Roy Keane, head of tourism for Canada in the UK, says: "Operators say advance bookings are very

good and a couple of them say they have more advance bookings so far than they had customers in the whole of last year."

Canada is, however, a graveyard for British tour operators. First Thomas Cook, then Thomson Holidays and Nielson, pulled out. Many of the 20 operators going to Canada are small specialists, among them All Canada Travel & Holidays, which have been operating for 14 years.

Alan Hubbard, its managing director, says: "Thousands are interested, but the number of enquiries that converts to bookings is small. People think it would be nice to go to Canada, but that it may be something they will do when they retire."

Crystal Holidays reports that it sold 1,000 ski holidays in the Banff area to Britons last year. American Independence added Canada to its North American programme this year, and Ski Scott Dunn is offering newcomers heli-skiing with Mike Wiegles Heli-skiing.

One week of heli-skiing costs £2,700 to £3,000, but 70 per cent of the cost goes on the helicopter. The helicopter ban which now covers almost all the Alps means that Canada, where the sport was invented, is probably the only place to permit heli-skiing.

Canadian Tourist Board, 071-258 6346; American Independence, 021 874848; All Canada Travel and Holidays, 0502 585325; Ski Scott Dunn, 081-767 0202.

FLYAWAYS

A trip to the past

AIR Canada Vacations (081-900 1156) offers train travel with a 1950s flavour, for £999 per person including all meals, but excluding flights. Travellers on the "Canadian" train, which has newly restored carriages dating from the days when "Peggy Sue" and "Blue Suede Shoes" were all the rage, have their own private rooms. The train stops at Winnipeg, Saskatoon, Edmonton, Jasper and Kamloops.

COUNTRY Holidays (0282 445566) has reduced next year's prices for people who book before December 24. The Lancashire-based company says that a typical reduction is £40 off a two-week holiday, cutting the price of a cottage near Keswick in the Lake District to £588.

QUEENS Most Houses hotels in Stoke-on-Trent (0742 219000), Sheffield (0742 373776) and Ware in Hertfordshire (0219 792416) offer pre-booking discounts for anyone wanting to get into shape. All three hotels have dry ski slopes nearby. Prices start from £43 per person per night, half board, for a minimum two-night stay.

SKYBUS HOLIDAYS (071 373 6055) offers two weeks in St Lucia or Barbados with departures from Gatwick airport on October 14. A fortnight in St Lucia starts from £499 per person based on two sharing. In Barbados, one person pays £1,079, the second travels free.

FINNCHALET (0764 670020) offers a seven-night trip departing on December 19 to Santa's workshops, with an opportunity for reindeer rides and a trip to the Arctic Circle. Holiday-makers stay in a chalet village near Rovaniemi, in Finland. The cost is £2,018 for a family of four, including flights, half-board accommodation, snowmobile safaris, skiing and a visit from Santa on Christmas Day.

SOLO'S (081-202 0855) offers breaks for independent over-30s to destinations including Gambia, Hong Kong and China, Lanzarote and Nepal.

Travel News is edited by Harvey Elliott

Holiday advert farce

By Marianne Curphey

ADVERTISEMENTS in travel agents' windows for holidays that have already sold out may be banned by law. Trading standards officers, who claim that the number of misleading adverts is growing because of a legal loophole, are to press the government to provide more protection for holidaymakers.

Officials said last week that 11 out of 41 window adverts at travel agents they had visited were out of date. They suspect that thousands of others are deliberately being left on display to tempt holidaymakers into the shops.

Under existing legislation there is little that can be done, but trading standards officials say that unless the industry acts voluntarily they will press for new legislation.

"We are concerned that the



Betton: 'It's a minefield'

public is being misled," says Mike Drewry, director of the trading standards office at Louthian regional council. His officers, acting on complaints from disappointed customers, found that only a quarter of "postcard" advertisements were actually available.

"Whether it is part of a marketing tactic to attract

customers or due to a breakdown in management is unclear, but an urgent review of this type of advertising is necessary," he says. "Customers can help us by reporting such travel agents to local trading standards officers."

Mr Drewry says he will be writing to the trade and industry department asking it to take the survey into account during its review of the trade descriptions law.

The Association of British Travel Agents described window advertising as "a minefield". Keith Betton, head of corporate affairs, says: "We would regard any shop as innocent until proven guilty, but we would not condone a travel agent who was knowingly misleading customers."

The difficulty is that agents do not know whether a package is no longer available until they try to book it.

The colony seeks the revenue it can earn as the Far East's sports centre

By John Young

Hong Kong bats to win

HONG KONG is seeking to establish itself as the sports centre of the Far East to compete with other countries in the region for tourists and the revenue they bring.

The colony, which reverts to Chinese rule in 1997, cannot match the natural attractions of such countries as Indonesia, Thailand, Malaysia, Vietnam and, of course, mainland China. But big sports events, which might include, say, golf and yachting, offer the prospect of a lucrative new market.

Last week's international six-a-side spectacular at Kowloon cricket club, which England won, was an outstanding success. Eight visiting teams took part, from England, Australia, the West Indies, Sri Lanka and South Africa, plus a local team of weekend players who distinguished themselves by beating the

mighty West Indies. With stars such as Graham Gooch and Sachin Tendulkar on the field, it was exactly the kind of event Hong Kong wants.

The match was sponsored by Wharf Holdings, a local property group, and by Cathay Pacific Airways, whose marketing communications manager, Alastair Blount, talks of a big future for sport in the competition for tourists. He sees prospects for soccer, rugby, cricket and hockey, and would also like to promote what he calls "plus fours" for veteran players.

"We must keep Hong Kong on the international map," he says. "We hope 1997 will only

be a hiccup, and that we will go on getting worldwide attention."

Although Peking has lost its bid to stage the Olympic Games in 2000, the Chinese government may gain some consolation from knowing that, when it takes over in Hong Kong, it will have an

almost new 40,000-seat multipurpose stadium. The cost, about HK\$1,000 million (nearly £90 million), is being met by the Royal Hong Kong Jockey Club.

The stadium is due to open on March 11 next year with a football match and, a few days later, the 19th international rugby seven-a-side tournament. If all goes to plan, the stadium will become an established international venue for a whole range of sports events, and help China to gain the international acceptance it craves.

THE TIMES PRE-CHRISTMAS BREAK IN BANGKOK: FIVE NIGHTS FROM ONLY £599


Enjoy a trip to the far east

Thailand is one of the most exhilarating countries in the Far East. Bangkok, its vigorous capital, is a city of contrasts where golden-spired temples stand incongruously alongside brightly flashing neon bar-signs. Everywhere you look there are pagodas, temples, modern buildings and Buddhist monks in their saffron robes.

Fly direct to Bangkok with British Airways and stay at the Imperial Queen's Park or the Shangri-La, voted one of the top ten hotels in the world. The Imperial Queen's Park has two swimming pools set in roof-top gardens. The Shangri-La is spectacularly situated beside the Chao Phraya River just minutes from the city centre.

Fill your days seeing the sights and doing your Christmas shopping. Find bargains such as silks, clothes, leather goods and watches. Take a long-tailed taxboat up and down the river, try the spicy cuisine or watch Thai classical dance. Whatever you do, enjoy the legendary Thai hospitality.

The price is £599 per person for The Imperial Queen's Park (single supplement £130); and £739 per person for the Shangri-La (single supplement £220). This includes return flights with British Airways London-Bangkok; five nights twin share accommodation at the Shangri-La (five star deluxe) or The Imperial Queen's Park (five star) on a room only basis; transfers airport-hotel. An



City of contrasts: Bangkok filled with magical temples and bargains galore

Apex flight to Bangkok on British Airways would cost £840. The room rates at the Shangri-La are £134-£155 per room per night, and at the Imperial Queen's Park are £106.

Please note places are strictly limited. To book please complete the coupon or phone Cox & Kings Travel direct on 071-873 5005.

SEND FOR FULL DETAILS TODAY

Please send me full details of The Times Pre-Christmas Break in Bangkok in December

NAME _____

ADDRESS _____

POSTCODE _____

Post to: The Times Bangkok Offer, Cox & Kings, St James's Court, 45 Buckingham Gate, London SW1E 6AF.

OH YES YOU CANada

With Our Great Winter Seat Sale

1 NOV - 14 DEC 25 DEC - 31 MAR £298	1 NOV - 14 DEC 25 DEC - 31 MAR £398
15 DEC - 24 DEC £398	15 DEC - 24 DEC £498

Canadian Canadian Airlines International

The fares shown are subject to certain booking conditions. Your travel agent can give you more information. Or call our Reservations Department on 081 686 8650.

KENYA

SAFARIS - £589
5 Nts - From
6 Nts - From £599
7 Nts - From £649
BEACH - £399
7 Nts - From

Your wildest dreams within rich landscapes - this wonderful land is habitat to perhaps, the world's greatest profusion of wildlife in any one country, combined with superb beaches, from waisaiti who arrived

INDIA

GOA BEACH - £399
7 Nts - From
Plus 7Nt TOUR - £733
TOTAL 14 Nts - From

The land of amazing contrasts: the delightful beaches of Goa, the romance of the Taj Mahal, Indian heritage and its rich reserves of Wildlife.

EGYPT

LUXOR - £289
7 Nts - From
ASWAN - £299
7 Nts - From
CRUISE Memphis' £366
7 Nts - From

A trip that stretches back in time into the distant past with artifacts in excess of 3,500 years - enjoy it all from the charm or luxury of your Nile cruiser.

Seychelles & Mauritius

The SEYCHELLES £814
7 Nts - From
MAURITIUS - £801
7 Nts - From
Kenya + Seychelles £1129
14 Nts - From

Take a journey 1000 miles east of Africa to the coral cluster of The Seychelles; or away to the south down by the tropic of Capricorn to Mauritius, the paradise island.

Central S.AFRICA

TOUR/SAFARIS £1507
7 Nts - From
BOTSWANA £2181
8 Nts - From

By its Sheer Scale, Spectacular Contrast of Scenery and Circumstance, the huge canoes Southern Africa paints, is one of a destination of opportunities to view Wildlife, without equal.

THAILAND

BANGKOK £499
7 Nts - From
PATTAYA £515
7 Nts - From
PHUKET £753
7 Nts - From

The land of smiles - an enchanting country of ancient culture, stunning beaches, flat plains and emerald paddy fields crisscrossed by canals and surrounded by mountains and forest.

SRI LANKA

Beach Hotel Serendib £623
7 Nts - From
Plus Serendipiti Tour £1032
TOTAL 14 Nts - From

An island to capture one's imagination 'the pearl of the orient' is a lush, green tropical island surrounded by glorious sandy beaches and deep crystal clear blue water.

For The All New '93/94 BROCHURE! Contact your ABTA Agent or

SOMAK Holidays
(a division of Somak Travel Limited)
Tel: 081 423 3000
Fax: 081 423 7700
(24 Hrs)
- ESTABLISHED 1968 -

